FP Watch Surveillance médicale

Complete health checkup for adults

Update on the Preventive Care Checklist Form®

Karl Iglar MD CCFP FCFP Sonia Katyal MD CCFP Roy Matthew MD CCFP Vinita Dubey MD MPH CCFP FRCPC

he Preventive Care Checklist Form[©] is an evidencedbased tool for Canadian family physicians to use at complete health checkups of adults. The complete health checkup of adults is a time for family physicians to focus on preventive health care with their patients. In one study, 94% of primary care physicians felt that annual physical examinations provided an opportunity to counsel patients on preventive health services and improve the doctor-patient relationship.1 Two-thirds of patients responded that the annual physical examination was necessary in addition to regular primary care.²

The Preventive Care Checklist Form incorporates recommendations from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC) and recommendations from other sources where the Task Force guidelines are not up-to-date or are lacking. Grade-A (good evidence to include) or grade-B (fair evidence to include) recommendations are delineated by bold and italic text, respectively. Recommendations from other guidelines are in plain text. Practice-relevant components, such as functional inquiry and physical examination, are also included in the form. The form was validated in a prospective cluster randomized controlled trial. Results indicated that using the forms improved the number of evidence-based preventive maneuvers delivered per patient by a 22.8% absolute increase and 46.6% relative increase.3 This means that physicians who used the forms provided almost 50% more recommended preventive services to patients than those who did not use them. Seventy-seven percent of physicians who used the form in the trial indicated they would continue to use it in routine practice.4

The Preventive Care Checklist Form was endorsed by the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC) in June 2004. It is available from the CFPC website,5 with separate forms for men and women, in English and in French. There is also an accompanying explanation sheet. The forms are used in family physicians' offices across the country in hard copy or as part of an electronic medical record.

In order to keep the Preventive Care Checklist Form up-to-date, relevant, and evidence-based, it is necessary that it be periodically updated. In January 2007, the forms were updated with the most recent evidence and re-endorsed through a peer-review process by the CFPC.

Method

Articles were sought through an Ovid MEDLINE search using the key words mass screening, preventive medicine, adult complete health assessment, and screening guidelines. These results were then limited to Canadian articles published after 2003. As this yielded too few results, specific articles were sought using search terms pertinent to the adult complete health checkup, including colorectal cancer, breast cancer, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and cervical cancer screening. We also used additional secondary sources, such as articles from the CTFPHC, the Canadian Cancer Society, the National Advisory Committee on Immunization, and the Canadian Medical Association Infobase.

Result

After reviewing all the aforementioned publications, the Preventive Care Checklist Form was updated to reflect current levels of evidence (Table 16-19). The changes based on the CTFPHC recommendations include screening for depression, universal influenza vaccination, screening for diabetes in individuals with hypertension and hyperlipidemia, calcium and vitamin D supplementation for women, and bone density assessment for women 65 years of age and older and those at risk for osteoporosis. The HIV recommendation for high-risk individuals, omitted from the last iteration of the form, has been included. The hormone replacement therapy recommendation has been removed based on current recommendations

Recommendations where the CTFPHC recommendations were lacking or not up-to-date are also presented in Table 16-19 and explained below.

Acellular pertussis vaccine

Pertussis is the least well-controlled vaccine-preventable illness.20,21 The incidence of pertussis has increased since 1990, with the highest rate of increase seen in adults and adolescents. The increase is attributed to waning immunity.20 The National Advisory Committee



The Preventive Care Checklist Forms are available at www.cfp.ca. Go to the full text article on-line, then click on CFPlus in the menu at the top right of the page.

on Immunization recommends the administration of a single dose of tetanus, diphtheria, and acellular pertussis vaccine to all adults in place of a tetanus-diph-

Human papillomavirus vaccine

There is a well-established causal relationship between cervical cancer and infection by multiple types of human

radie 1. Opdate	d 2007 recommendations included in	GRADE OF	CHANGES TO THE PREVENTIVE CARE	SOURCE OF
CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	RECOMMENDATION*	CHECKLIST FORM	RECOMMENDATION
Functional inqu	iry			
Depression ⁶	Screen adults in the general population for depression if there are integrated programs for feedback to patients and access to case management or mental health care A positive test result for this screening is a positive response to one or both questions: • Over the past 2 weeks, have you felt little pleasure in doing things? • Over the past 2 weeks, have you felt down, depressed, or hopeless?	В	Under Mental Health, Depression Screen will be added in italics	СТЕРНС
Education and c	counseling			
Osteoporosis ⁷	Calcium and vitamin D supplementation alone prevents osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women without documented osteoporosis	В	Calcium and vitamin D recommendations will be in italics on the female form	СТЕРНС
HRT ^{8,9}	Do not use HRT for the primary prevention of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular disease in perimenopausal women and chronic disease in menopausal women	D	The item relating to HRT for women on the previous form will be deleted owing to evidence of harm	СТЕРНС
Physical examin	ation			
WC ¹⁰	A WC > 102 cm (40 in) for men and > 88 cm (35 in) for women is associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes, coronary artery disease, and hypertension The WC measurement should be used among those with a body mass index between 18.5 and 34.9 to identify additional risk		WC information will appear in plain text	Health Canada, WHO
WHR ¹¹	A WHR > 1.0 for men and > 0.85 for women is considered a marker of abdominal obesity		WHR information will appear in plain text	WHO
Labs or investig	ations			
Colonoscopy ¹²	Screen for colon cancer in all adults older than 50 y Colonoscopy every 10 y can be considered as a screening option, though controlled studies of efficacy are lacking		Colonoscopy recommendations will appear in plain text with sigmoidoscopy and hemoccult multiphase testing for colon cancer screening	Canadian Association of Gastroenterolog Canadian Digestive Health Foundation
HIV testing ¹³	Offer screening to asymptomatic persons at high risk: men who have sex with men, sex workers, injection drug users, those with an STI, sexual contacts of HIV-positive persons, and those from countries with a high prevalence rate of HIV infection	А	HIV testing recommendations will appear in bold text Testing is recommended for high-risk individuals as part of an STI screen	СТЕРНС
HB ^{14,15}	Routine pre-immunization serologic testing for HB is recommended for people at high risk of infection		HB testing recommendations will appear in plain text Testing is recommended for high-risk individuals	NACI

high-risk individuals

FP Watch | Surveillance médicale

Table 1 continued from page 85

CONDITION	RECOMMENDATION	GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION*	CHANGES TO THE PREVENTIVE CARE CHECKLIST FORM	SOURCE OF RECOMMENDATION
Type 2 diabetes mellitus ¹⁶	Screen adults with hypertension or hyperlipidemia for type 2 diabetes using the FBG to prevent cardiovascular events and death	В	The FBG recommendations will appear in italic text Recommendations are for patients with hypertension or hyperlipidemia; Canadian Diabetes Association guidelines ¹⁷ will be used for adults 40 y and older or those with other risk factors	СТЕРНС
Osteoporosis ⁷	Screen postmenopausal women by DEXA if they are 65 y and older, or have a history of previous fracture, or have an Osteoporosis Risk Assessment Instrument score ≥9, or have a Simple Calculated Osteoporosis Risk Estimation score ≥6 to prevent fragility fractures	В	The recommendation for bone mineral density using DEXA will be in italics on the female form	СТЕРНС
mmunizations				
Influenza ^{14,18}	Support yearly influenza vaccination in healthy adults; this recommendation also concurs with the recommendation by NACI	А	Annual influenza vaccination for all adults will be added in bold text	СТЕРНС
Pertussis ¹⁴	Administer a single dose of the adolescent or adult formulation of acellular pertussis vaccine to adults who have not received a dose of acellular pertussis vaccine		Acellular pertussis vaccine recommendations will appear in plain text	NACI
Cervical cancer ¹⁹	HPV vaccine is recommended for girls 9 to 13 y of age Female populations between 14 and 26 y of age would benefit from the vaccine even if they are already sexually active		HPV vaccine recommendations will appear in plain text	NACI

CTFPHC—Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care, DEXA—dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry, FBG—fasting blood glucose, HB—hepatitis B, HPV human papillomavirus, HRT-hormone replacement therapy, NACI-National Advisory Committee on Immunization, STI-sexually transmitted infection, WC-waist circumference, WHO-World Health Organization, WHR-waist-to-hip ratio.

*Grade-A recommendation (good evidence to include), grade-B recommendation (fair evidence to include), grade-D recommendation (fair evidence to exclude).

Immunization has recommended the human papillomavirus vaccine for girls aged 9 to 13 years.

The recommendation also states that female populations between 14 and 26 years of age can benefit from the vaccine, even if they are or have been sexually active.19

Risk factor stratification for obesity

The body mass index is often used to indicate total fat content. The waist-to-hip ratio and the waist circumference, on the other hand, are considered measures of central or abdominal obesity, which can be a better indicator of obesity-related diseases.11 A high waist-to-hip ratio is defined as greater than 1.0 in men and greater than 0.85 in women,11 and has been shown to be strongly associated with the risk of myocardial infarction.²⁵ Waist circumference, defined as the circumference measured at the midpoint between the lower border of the rib cage and the iliac crest, is a more practical method to assess

abdominal obesity.11 Measurements greater than 102 cm (40 in) for men and 88 cm (35 in) for women are considered high-risk indicators for diabetes, coronary artery disease, and dyslipidemia.26 Health Canada has recommended waist circumference as further stratification of risk in both men and women with a body mass index between 18.5 and 34.9.10,26

Colonoscopy for colorectal cancer screening

Colonoscopy has been advocated by some as an alternate screening modality for colorectal cancer²⁷ and some primary care practitioners appear to prefer it.^{28,29} Colonoscopy has been shown to detect advanced neoplasia, which can be been missed with sigmoidoscopy alone in asymptomatic men and women.30,31 In the Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study, asymptomatic adults from 50 to 75 years of age from 13 centres were screened.32 Participants were screened for advanced neoplasia (defined as an adenoma 10 mm or greater,

a villous adenoma, an adenoma with high-grade dysplasia, or invasive cancer), with rehydrated stool specimens collected on 3 consecutive days followed by a colonoscopy. Sigmoidoscopy was defined as the examination of the rectum and sigmoid during colonoscopy. A subgroup analysis of the 2885 participants who returned the specimens for fecal occult blood testing showed that a colonoscopy can increase the detection rate of colorectal cancer by 24% when compared with the combination of one-time fecal occult blood testing and sigmoidoscopy.32

Both the CTFPHC and the United States Preventive Services Task Force state there is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against using colonoscopy as a screening test for colorectal cancer. 33,34 The Canadian Association of Gastroenterology recommends having a colonoscopy every 10 years as a viable screening option for individuals 50 years of age and older who are at average risk for colorectal cancer. In individuals with a family history of adenomatous polyps or colorectal cancer (in a first-degree relative over the age of 60 or 2 or more second-degree relatives), colonoscopy is an option beginning at age 40. If those relatives are younger than 60, a colonoscopic screening is recommended every 5 years beginning either at age 40 or 10 years before the age of the youngest case.12

Hepatitis B screening

Hepatitis B virus infection has declined in incidence because of the increased use of the hepatitis B vaccine. It has virtually disappeared in cohorts offered universal vaccination; however, a substantial proportion of the population is still at high risk for acquiring the infection. He This includes those who have high-risk sexual activity or unprotected sex, such as individuals having unprotected sex with new partners or those who have had more than I sexual partner in the past 6 months, individuals with a history of sexually

FP Watch | Surveillance médicale

transmitted infections, and men having sex with men. High-risk groups should be screened for hepatitis B infection15 as part of a sexually transmitted disease test, which includes testing for chlamydia, gonorrhea, HIV, and syphilis.

Vitamin D to decrease cancer

When the 2007 updates were being finalized, the Canadian Cancer Society announced its recommendation for increased vitamin D supplementation for the prevention of cancer. This recommendation has not been included in the Preventive Care Checklist Form because the clinically important level of vitamin D, as it relates to cancer while preventing toxicity, requires further investigation.35

Conclusion

Before the Preventive Care Checklist Form there was no standardized evidence-based form that family physicians in Canada could use for a complete health checkup for adults. In order to remain relevant, the form must be regularly updated to incorporate new evidence for or against certain preventive health maneuvers. The Preventive Care Checklist Form was first endorsed by the CFPC in 2004 and was recently updated in 2007 using relevant literature. The 2007 form provides family physicians with an updated, user-friendly tool to implement best practices in preventive health care. It is meant to be used during complete health checkups for adults who are at average risk. Although the form is comprehensive, physicians should use their discretion and clinical knowledge to determine what maneuvers are required for individual patients.

Dr Iglar is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Family and Community Medicine at the University of Toronto and a staff physician in the Department of Family and Community Medicine at St Michael's Hospital in Toronto, Ont. Dr Katyal currently practises adolescent and general family medicine in Ajax, Ont. Dr Matthew is a family physician in Mississauga and Brampton, Ont. **Dr Dubey** is an Associate Medical Officer of Health for Toronto Public Health in the Division of Communicable Diseases and an emergency medicine physician at Lakeridge Health in Bowmanville, Ont.

Competing interests

None declared

References

- 1. Prochazka AV, Lundah K, Pearson W, Oboler SK, Anderson RJ. Support of evidencebased guidelines for the annual physical examination: a survey of primary care pro-
- viders. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166(13):1347-52. 2. Oboler SK, Prochazka AV, Gonzales R, Xu S, Anderson RJ. Public expectations and attitudes for annual physical examinations and testing. Ann Intern Med
- 3. Dubey V, Mathew R, Iglar K, Moineddin R, Glazier R. Improving preventive service delivery at adult complete health check-ups: the Preventive health Evidence-based Recommendation Form (PERFORM) cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Fam Pract 2006;7:44.

- 4. Dubey V, Glazier R. Preventive Care Checklist Form. Evidence-based tool to improve preventive health care during complete health assessment of adults. Can Fam Physician 2006:52:48-55
- 5. Dubey V, Mathew RGR, Iglar K. The evidence-based Preventive Care Checklist forms. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2007. Available from: http://www.cfpc.ca/English/cfpc/communications/health%20policy/Preventive %20Care%20Checklist%20Forms/Intro/default.asp?s=1. Accessed 2007 Nov 8.
- 6. MacMillan HS, Patterson CJ, Wathen CN, Feightner JW, Bessette, P, Elford RW, et al. Screening for depression in primary care: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. CMAJ 2005;172(1):33-5.
- 7. Cheung AM, Feig DS, Kapral M, Diaz-Granados N, Dodin S. Prevention of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. CMAJ 2004;160(11):1665-7
- $8.\ Wathen\ CN,\ Feig\ DS,\ Feightner\ JS,\ Abramson\ BL,\ Cheung\ AM.\ Hormone\ replacement$ therapy for the primary prevention of chronic diseases: recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *CMAJ* 2004;170(10):1535-7.
- 9. Abramson BL, Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy for the primary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease. Systematic review and recommendations. Report No. 03-2. London, ON: Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care; 2003.
- 10. Office of Nutrition Promotion and Policy. Canadian guidelines for body weight classification in adults. Ottawa, ON: Health Canada; 2003. Available from http://www. healthcanada.ca/nutrition. Accessed 2007 Nov 8.
- 11. WHO Technical Series. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report No. 894. Geneva, Switz: World Health Organization; 2004.
- 12. Leddin D, Hunt R, Champion M, Cockeram A, Flook N, Gould M, et al. Canadian Association of Gastroenterology and the Canadian Digestive Health Foundation:
- guidelines on colon cancer screening, *Can J Gastroenterol* 2004;18(2):93-9.

 13. Wang E. *Screening for HIV antibody*. London, ON: Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care; 1991. Available from: http://www.ctfphc.org/Full_Text/Ch58full.htm. Accessed 2007 Nov 8.
- 14. National Advisory Committee on Immunization. Canadian immunization guide. 7th ed. Ottawa, ON: Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada; 2006.
- 15. Expert Working Group on Canadian Guidelines for STIs. Canadian guidelines on sexually transmitted infections: 2006 Edition. Ottawa, ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2006. Available from: http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/std-mts/sti_2006/sti_ intro2006_e.html. Accessed 2007 Nov 29.
- 16. Feig DS, Palda VA, Lipscombe L, Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus to prevent vascular complications: updated recommendations from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. CMAJ 2005;172(2):177-80.
- 17. Canadian Diabetes Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee. Canadian Diabetes Association 2003 clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada. Can J Diabetes 2003:27(Suppl 2):S1-152
- 18. Langley JM, Faughnan ME. Prevention of influenza in the general population. CMAJ 2004;171(10):1213-22.
- 19 National Advisory Committee on Immunization, Statement on human papillomavirus vaccine. An Advisory Committee statement. Can Comm Dis Rep 2007;33(ACS-2):1-31.
- 20. Galanis E, King AS, Varughese P, Halperin SA, IMPACT investigators. Changing epidemiology and emerging risk groups for pertussis. CMAJ 2006;174(4):451-2.
- 21. Brooks DA, Clover R. Pertussis infection in the United States: role for vaccination of adolescents and adults. J Am Board Fam Med 2006;19(6):603-11.
- 22. National Advisory Committee on Immunization. Interval between administration of vaccines against diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis. An Advisory Committee statement. Can Comm Dis Rep 2005;31 (ACS-9):17.
- 23. Wallin KL, Wiklund F, Angstrom T, Bergman F, Stendahl U, Wadell G, et al. Type-specific persistence of human papillomavirus DNA before the development of invasive cervical cancer. N Engl J Med 1999;341(22):1633-8.
- 24. Bosch FX, Manos MM, Munoz N, Sherman M, Jansen AM, Peto J, et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in cervical cancer: a worldwide perspective. International biological study on cervical cancer (IBSCC) Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995;87(11):796-802.
- 25. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Bautista L, Franzosi MG, Commerford P, et al. Obesity and risk of myocardial infarction in 27000 participants from 52 countries: a case-controlled study. Lancet 2005;366(9497):1640-9.
- 26. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Body mass index, waist circumference, and health risk: evidence in support of current national institutes of health guidelines. Arch Intern Med 2002;162(18): 2074-9.
- 27. American Cancer Society. Can colorectal polyps and cancer be found early? Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society; 2007. Available from www.cancer.org/docroot/ $CRI/content/CRI_2_4_3x_can_colon_and_rectum_cancer_be_found_early.asp$ Accessed 2007 Dec 13.
- 28. Lafata JE, Williams LK, Ben-Menachem T, Moon C, Divine G. Colorectal carcinoma screening procedure use among primary care patients. *Cancer* 2005;104(7):1356-61. 29. McGregor SE, Hilsden RJ, Murray A, Bryant HE. Colorectal cancer screening: prac-
- tices and opinions of primary care physicians. Prev Med 2004;39(2):279-85.
- 30. Lieberman DA, Weiss DG, Bond JH, Ahnen DJ, Garewal H, Chejfec G. Use of colonoscopy to screen asymptomatic adults for colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2000:343(3):162-8.
- 31. Schoenfeld P, Cash B, Flood A, Dobhan R, Eastone J, Coyle W, et al. Colonoscopic screening of average-risk women for colorectal neoplasia. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2061-8.
- 32. Lieberman DA, Weiss DG. One-time screening for colorectal cancer with fecal occultblood testing and examination of the distal colon. Veterans Affairs Study Group. N Engl J Med 2001;345(8):555-60
- 33. McLeod R, Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Screening Strategies for Colorectal Cancer: Systematic Review and Recommendations. Report No. 01-2. London, ON: Canadian Task force of Preventive Health Care; 2001.
- 34. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for colorectal cancer: recommendations and rationale. Ann Intern Med 2002;137(2):129-31.
- 35. Canadian Cancer Society. Key findings released from UV, vitamin D and health conference: national health groups recognize benefits of vitamin D. Toronto, ON: Canadian Cancer Society; 2006. Available from: www.cancer.ca/ccs/internet/mediareleaselist/0,,3543_434465_1057677808_langId-en,00.html. Accessed 2007 Dec 13.