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**ABSTRACT**

**OBJECTIVE** To describe the state of diabetes care among Alberta First Nations individuals with diabetes living on reserves.

**DESIGN** Survey and screening for diabetes-related complications.

**SETTING** Forty-three Alberta First Nations communities.

**PARTICIPANTS** A total of 743 self-referred First Nations individuals with known diabetes.

**MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES** Clinical measurements (glycated hemoglobin A1c levels, body mass index, waist circumference, total cholesterol, blood pressure, and the presence of kidney complications or proteinuria, retinopathy, and foot abnormalities), self-reported health services utilization, clinical history, and knowledge of and satisfaction with diabetes services.

**RESULTS** Female participants tended to be more obese ($P<.05$) and to have abnormal waist circumferences more often than men ($P<.05$). Male participants, however, had a higher proportion of proteinuria ($P<.05$), hypertension ($P<.05$), limb complications ($P<.05$), and retinopathy ($P<.05$). Family physicians were the main diabetes care providers for most participants. Nearly half the participants felt they did not have care from a diabetes team. A total of 38% had never seen dietitians. Diabetes-related concerns were responsible for 24% of all hospitalizations and emergency department visits. Approximately 46% and 21% of participants had recommended hemoglobin A1c testing and foot examinations, respectively. Only 24% of participants with kidney complications were receiving treatment. A considerable proportion of participants had undiagnosed complications of diabetes: kidney damage or proteinuria (23%), high cholesterol (22%), foot complications (11%), hypertension (9%), and retinopathy (7%).

**CONCLUSION** Diabetes care is suboptimal in Alberta First Nations communities. Rural physicians caring for First Nations individuals on reserves should be involved, along with other members of diabetes health care teams, in strategies to improve diabetes care. Our results justify the need for community-based screening for diabetes control and complications in First Nation communities.

This article has been peer reviewed.  
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**EDITOR’S KEY POINTS**

- Prevalence of diabetes is much higher in First Nations populations than in the general Canadian population, and complications of diabetes are much more common.
- Previous studies in Saskatchewan and Ontario demonstrated that overall management of diabetes is poor among First Nations individuals, but no studies had been done in Alberta. As part of the SLICK project, this study screened Alberta First Nations individuals with diabetes for complications of the disease and surveyed them about their clinical histories, their use of health services, and their satisfaction with and knowledge of diabetes care and available services.
- Although some data were self-reported and the participants were self-selected (and might therefore not represent the general First Nations population with diabetes), diabetes care was suboptimal in this group, and diabetes care guidelines were generally not being followed. Undiagnosed complications and notable treatment gaps were common. Future longitudinal SLICK results are expected to determine whether or not improvements in diabetes care among Alberta First Nations people are occurring.
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RÉSUMÉ

OBJECTIF Décrire la situation du traitement du diabète chez les diabétiques des Premières nations de l'Alberta vivant dans des réserves.

TYPE D'ÉTUDE Enquête et dépistage des complications du diabète.

CONTEXTE Quarante-trois collectivités albertaines des Premières nations. Un total de 743 diabétiques connus des Premières nations acceptant de participer.

PARTICIPANTS Un total de 743 diabétiques connus des Premières nations acceptant de participer.

PRINCIPAUX PARAMÈTRES À L’ÉTUDE Données cliniques (hémoglobine glyquée A1c, indice de masse corporelle, circonférence de taille, cholestérol total, tension artérielle et présence de complications rénales, protéinurie, rétinopathie ou problème aux pieds), déclaration des participants sur leur utilisation des services de santé, leur histoire médicale, et sur leur connaissance et satisfaction des services aux diabétiques.

RÉSULTATS Les participants avaient tendance à être plus obèses que les hommes \( (P<.05) \) et à avoir un tour de taille plus souvent excessif \( (P<.05) \). Toutefois, les hommes avaient des taux plus élevés de protéinurie \( (P<.05) \), d’hypertension \( (P<.05) \), de complications aux membres \( (P<.05) \) et de rétinopathies \( (P<.05) \). Les médecins de famille étaient les principaux responsables du traitement du diabète pour la plupart des participants. Près de la moitié des participants estimaient n’avoir pas été suivis par une équipe du diabète. Un total de 38% n’avaient jamais rencontré de diététiste. Les inquiétudes reliées au diabète étaient responsables de 24% de toutes les hospitalisations et visites à l’urgence. Environ 46% et 21% des participants avaient eu, tel que recommandé, une mesure de l’hémoglobine A1c et un examen des pieds, respectivement. Seulement 24% des participants avec complication rénale étaient traités. Bon nombre de participants présentaient des complications diabétiques non diagnostiquées: atteinte rénale ou protéinurie (23%), cholestérol élevé (22%), problèmes aux pieds (11%), hypertension (9%) et rétinopathie (7%).

CONCLUSION Le traitement du diabète est sous-optimal dans les communautés des Premières nations de l’Alberta. Les médecins ruraux qui pratiquent dans les réserves devraient, conjointement avec les autres membres de l’équipe responsables des soins du diabète, développer des stratégies pour améliorer ces soins. Nos résultats démontrent la nécessité d’un dépistage visant le contrôle du diabète et de ses complications dans les communautés de Premières nations.

Cet article a fait l’objet d’une révision par des pairs. Can Fam Physician 2009;55:386-93

POINTS DE REPÈRE DU RÉDACTEUR

- La prévalence du diabète est beaucoup plus élevée chez les membres des Premières nations que dans la population canadienne générale, et les complications de cette affection sont beaucoup plus fréquentes.
- Des études antérieures en Saskatchewan et en Ontario ont montré que le traitement général du diabète est déficient chez les personnes des Premières nations, mais aucune étude n’a été faite en Alberta. Cette étude qui faisait partie du projet SLICK a recherché chez les diabétiques des Premières nations de l’Alberta les complications de cette maladie; on les a interrogés sur leur histoire clinique, leur utilisation des services de santé, et leur connaissance et satisfaction relatives aux soins du diabète et des services disponibles.
- Même si certaines des données résultats d’auto-déclarations et si les participants l’étaient par choix personnel (pouvant ainsi ne pas représenter la population générale des diabétiques des Premières nations), le traitement du diabète était sous-optimal dans ce groupe, et les directives sur les soins des diabétiques n’étaient généralement pas suivies. Complications non diagnostiquées et traitement incomplet étaient fréquents. Les résultats futurs de l'étude longitudinale SLICK devraient permettre de déterminer si les soins aux diabétiques des Premières nations de l’Alberta s’améliorent.
Diabetes care and health status of First Nations

Diabetes is an epidemic among First Nations populations, with prevalence rates markedly higher than in the general Canadian population. In 2005, the estimated diabetes prevalence rate among First Nations residents in Alberta was 12%. This could be an underestimate, however, owing to high rates of undiagnosed diabetes. First Nations individuals with diabetes experience complications more frequently than those in other groups and the rate of complications is expected to increase dramatically over the next decade.

Despite available research on diabetes prevalence and complications in First Nations communities, very little is known about the state of diabetes care. A clinical audit conducted in Saskatchewan and the Sandy Lake Diabetes study in Ontario suggested that overall diabetes management is poor among First Nations individuals. However, no studies have been done in Alberta. The SLICK (Screening for Limb, I-eye, Cardiovascular, and Kidney complications of diabetes) project aims to reduce the burden of diabetes in the Alberta First Nations population living on reserves. An initial goal of SLICK, and the objective of this study, was to evaluate diabetes care and health status among the population on reserves. First Nations individuals with diabetes were screened for diabetes complications and completed a survey targeting self-reported health services utilization, clinical history, and satisfaction with and knowledge of diabetes care and services before SLICK was implemented.

**METHODS**

Mobile clinics staffed by health professionals and equipped with portable laboratory equipment traveled to each of the 43 First Nations communities in Alberta, starting in 2001. The communities are situated within 3 treaty areas. In general, Treaty 6, Treaty 7, and Treaty 8 cover central, southern, and northern Alberta, respectively. The SLICK project is ongoing. This report deals with baseline results to July 2003. First Nations individuals with known diabetes were enrolled through referral by community care workers or through self-referral in response to advertising. Participants completed a survey and were screened for diabetes complications. A diabetes knowledge questionnaire was included with the survey. Complete methodology has been reported elsewhere. Original and previously developed questions were included in the survey.

Glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, blood pressure, total cholesterol levels, and the presence of foot abnormalities, kidney damage (dipstick proteinuria or microalbuminuria), and retinopathy were assessed. Concentrations of HbA1c and microalbumin were determined using the Bayer DCA2000+ analyzer. Total cholesterol levels were measured using the Cholestech LDX portable analyzer. The presence of foot abnormalities (peripheral neuropathy) was determined using a microfilament wire. Three-dimensional retinal images were sent to specialists at the Tele-Ophthalmology Unit at the Royal Alexandra Hospital in Edmonton, Alta, for assessment. Because SLICK began in 2001, inadequate glucose control was assessed according to the 1998 Canadian Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs) cutoff of HbA1c levels greater than 8.4%. Optimal glucose control was further defined by the 2003 Canadian CPGs as HbA1c levels below 7%.

The SLICK project was approved by the University of Alberta Health Research Ethics Board, and informed consent was sought from all participants for collective analysis and dissemination. Significant differences (P<.05) between participants by sex were detected using χ² tests. Parameters were analyzed using SPSS, version 11.5.

**RESULTS**

Of the 1151 initial participants, 743 (254 male, 489 female) completed both the survey and screening, representing a 65% response rate. An estimate from administrative databases of Alberta Health and Wellness, using a validated algorithm, suggests 5727 First Nations individuals with diabetes reside in Alberta, approximately 60% of whom live on reserves. Therefore, the population reported on here represents about one-third of the target population. (As of July 2007 SLICK had seen a total of 2102 unique individuals with diabetes, representing two-thirds of the target population.) The mean age of participants was 53, and ages ranged from 14 to 92. The presented parameters fall into 3 categories: 1) objective clinical parameters, 2) self-reported survey parameters, and 3) a survey-based assessment of diabetes knowledge and satisfaction with care.

Clinical parameters

Participants’ clinical characteristics are outlined in Table 1. Only 30% of participants had optimal HbA1c levels, and glucose control was inadequate in 43%. Twenty-five percent of participants were overweight, 64% were obese, and 88% had abnormal waist circumferences. Obesity and abnormal waist circumferences were more prevalent among women than men (P<.05). Sixty-one percent of participants had hypertension; the proportion was significantly higher among men than among women (P<.05). Fifty-eight percent of participants had high cholesterol. Approximately 6% of participants had high-risk foot abnormalities, with another 31% at lower risk; significantly more men were at high risk of foot complications (P<.05). Overt proteinuria was detected in 13% of participants, and 39% had
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of participants by sex: A) Mean measurements. B) Percentage of participants with various characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>MALE, MEAN (SD) N = 254</th>
<th>FEMALE, MEAN (SD) N = 489</th>
<th>TOTAL, MEAN (SD) N = 743</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>33.8 (11.2)</td>
<td>34.1 (8.4)</td>
<td>34.0 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td>110.8 (13.1)</td>
<td>111.4 (13.7)</td>
<td>111.2 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>133.6 (17.4)</td>
<td>130.8 (18.4)</td>
<td>131.7 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>79.3 (10.5)</td>
<td>76.0 (10.1)</td>
<td>77.1 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>97.4 (11.5)</td>
<td>94.2 (11.1)</td>
<td>95.3 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA₁c, %</td>
<td>8.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>8.2 (2.0)</td>
<td>8.2 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.52 (1.4)</td>
<td>5.40 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.44 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % normal BMI (18.5-24.9)</td>
<td>12 (2.6-22.2)</td>
<td>11 (3.4-18.2)</td>
<td>11 (4.5-18.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % overweight (25-29.9)</td>
<td>29 (20.7-37.5)</td>
<td>22 (15.4-29.0)</td>
<td>25 (18.3-30.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % obese (&gt;30)</td>
<td>59* (52.6-64.4)</td>
<td>67* (62.9-71.1)</td>
<td>64 (59.8-68.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference, cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with abnormal waist circumference (&gt; 102 for men; &gt; 88 for women)</td>
<td>76* (69.4-81.6)</td>
<td>95* (93.0-97.0)</td>
<td>88 (86.0-90.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with hypertension (&gt;130/80)</td>
<td>68* (62.0-73.0)</td>
<td>57* (52.9-61.9)</td>
<td>61 (56.3-65.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot risk category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with no abnormality (0)</td>
<td>55* (50.2-60.6)</td>
<td>67* (60.7-68.9)</td>
<td>63 (59.5-66.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % at low risk (1)</td>
<td>18 (10.1-26.5)</td>
<td>14 (8.4-18.6)</td>
<td>15 (9.5-20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % at moderate risk (2)</td>
<td>16 (8.0-24.6)</td>
<td>16 (11.0-21.4)</td>
<td>16 (10.6-21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % at high risk (3)</td>
<td>10* (2.2-17.8)</td>
<td>4* (0-7.0)</td>
<td>6 (0.5-10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA₁c, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with optimal HbA₁c (&lt; 7)</td>
<td>30 (21.1-39.7)</td>
<td>30 (23.8-36.6)</td>
<td>30 (25.2-35.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with inadequate HbA₁c (&gt;8.4)</td>
<td>44 (35.8-52.2)</td>
<td>42 (36.4-48.0)</td>
<td>43 (38.3-47.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with high cholesterol (&gt;5.2)</td>
<td>58 (50.7-64.8)</td>
<td>58 (52.9-62.4)</td>
<td>58 (53.9-61.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with abnormal ratio (&gt;2 for men; &gt;2.8 for women)</td>
<td>49* (41.1-56.6)</td>
<td>33* (26.9-39.5)</td>
<td>39 (34.3-43.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with proteinuria</td>
<td>20* (9.6-29.4)</td>
<td>10* (2.7-17.7)</td>
<td>13 (7.6-19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• % with retinopathy</td>
<td>40* (19.3-32.5)</td>
<td>26* (19.3-32.5)</td>
<td>31 (25.8-35.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI—body mass index, CI—confidence interval, HbA₁c—glycated hemoglobin A₁c, MAP—mean arterial pressure.

*Significant sex difference (P<.05).

abnormal microalbumin-creatinine ratios; rates were significantly higher among male participants (P<.05). Retinopathy was detected in 31% of participants, and the proportion was higher among men (P<.05).

**Self-reported clinical services use**

The main diabetes care providers were family doctors for 65% of participants, nurses for 16%, and diabetes specialists for 2% (Table 2). Roughly 10% of participants had no regular health care providers, 46% had never seen diabetes nurses, and 18% had not visited diabetes care providers in the previous year. Only 28% of participants had visited dietitians in the previous year. Visits to physicians were frequent among participants; 95% had visited doctors at least once in the previous year, and 54% had made more than 5 visits. Approximately 40% of participants had been hospitalized overnight; half of these patients had multiple stays and more than half reported that the primary cause of these hospitalizations was diabetes. Forty-seven percent of participants had at
Research

Table 2. Reported use of health services by participants: N = 743.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH SERVICES USE</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main diabetes health care provider</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Doctor</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60.8-69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nurse</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.4-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diabetes specialist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1-4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9-10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• None</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.2-15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of visits to main diabetes health care provider in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.5-23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.7-28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20.9-33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.3-17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.3-24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of visits to any doctor in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.1-12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.2-39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49.1-58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of times seen by a diabetes nurse in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40.7-51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21.9-32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 y</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.3-18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.1-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit with a dietitian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.3-43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.9-33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 y</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28.2-39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of overnight hospitalizations in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56.5-65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.5-24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.4-21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1-8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of overnight hospitalizations owing to diabetes in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72.5-79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.3-18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1-13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0-6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of emergency room visits in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48.1-57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.6-26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.6-26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of emergency room visits owing to diabetes in past y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72.5-79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.3-17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.2-15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1-4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last HbA1c blood test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.6-25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 y</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.3-19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 mo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.6-25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 mo</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40.7-51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last cholesterol blood test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.6-26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 y</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 mo</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.3-19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 mo</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55.5-65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last urine protein test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.3-17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 y</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.1-12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 y</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.5-18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63.9-72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last visit with a podiatrist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>61.8-70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 y</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1-13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 y</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 y</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15.6-26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last retinopathy eye test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18.7-29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 y</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.4-20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 y</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.8-30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1 y</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30.3-41.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported use of health services by participants: N = 743.

Clinical history

Overall and diabetes-related health was reported as good or excellent by 75% of participants. One-third of the participants recalled having had heart or circulation problems, 16% had had heart attacks (30% of males and 13% of females), and 6% had had heart operations. A total of 36% of participants recalled being diagnosed with high cholesterol, 52% with hypertension, and 16% with kidney damage (described as protein or kidney leakage). Among these patients, 52%, 76%, and 24%, respectively, were on medication for their conditions. Moreover, 47% of those with kidney damage were receiving antihypertensive medication. About 26% of participants reported having foot complications, of whom 39% had ulcers or sores on their feet or legs that would not heal for longer than 1 month. Approximately 24% of participants recalled having eye complications; of those, 38% had had laser surgery and 27% had had cataract operations. Finally, when comparing self-reported and clinical measurements (Table 3), we found additional undiagnosed complications of diabetes: kidney damage (23%), high cholesterol (22%), foot complications (11%), hypertension (9%), and retinopathy (7%).

Table 3. Comparison of clinical measurements with self-reported clinical health: N = 743.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>CLINICAL MEASURE, % WITH CONDITION (95% CI)</th>
<th>SELF-REPORTED, % WITH CONDITION (95% CI)</th>
<th>UNDIAGNOSED COMPLICATION, % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney damage</td>
<td>39 (33.4-44.6)</td>
<td>16 (10.4-22.6)</td>
<td>23 (17.7-28.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High cholesterol</td>
<td>58 (53.3-62.7)</td>
<td>36 (30.3-41.8)</td>
<td>22 (16.7-27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot complications</td>
<td>37 (31.3-42.7)</td>
<td>26 (20.8-31.2)</td>
<td>11 (5.2-16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>61 (56.5-65.5)</td>
<td>52 (47.0-57.0)</td>
<td>9 (3.1-14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>31 (26.0-36.0)</td>
<td>24 (18.7-29.3)</td>
<td>7 (1.1-12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cl = confidence interval.

Knowledge of diabetes and satisfaction with care

Thirty-six percent of participants rated their overall understanding of diabetes as fair or poor, and 47% had not attended a formal diabetes education program (Table 4). Knowledge indicators, additive indexes
Our results demonstrate that diabetes health status of Alberta First Nations individuals living on reserves is poor, and sex differences in diabetes complications exist. Diabetes care guidelines were generally not being well followed before SLICK implementation, and diabetes care was suboptimal. A large proportion of First Nations people with diabetes reported that their care was not provided using a team approach. Participants also generally had poor knowledge about diabetes screening and prevention. Additionally, undiagnosed complications and notable treatment gaps were common.

A higher proportion of First Nation individuals with diabetes were overweight or obese (89%) compared with the general Canadian population with diabetes (74%). This supports data from the Canadian Community Health Survey, which show higher rates of overweight and obese individuals among aboriginals. Considerably more participants in our study had inadequate HbA1c levels (43%) compared with Saskatchewan First Nations individuals in a previous study (31%).

Only 30% of participants had optimal levels of HbA1c, which is less than among Ontario First Nation individuals (37%) and nonaboriginal rural Albertans (50%).

The underlying causes and implications of the reported sex differences cannot be determined from this study and require further research. Sex differences in diabetes complications reported in other populations have been contradictory. Studies have shown higher rates of microvascular complications among men, higher rates among women, and no significant difference between sexes. Whether or not diabetes confers a higher risk of cardiovascular disease in women compared with men is also debatable. In our study, female participants appeared to be at higher risk of cardiovascular complications, as more were obese and had abnormal waist circumferences. On the other hand, male participants had higher proportions of kidney problems, hypertension, limb complications, and retinopathy.

According to the 2003 Canadian CPGs for the prevention and management of diabetes, a multidisciplinary diabetes health care team is needed in the long-term care of those with diabetes. Our results, however, show that almost half (45%) of First Nations people with diabetes believed they did not receive diabetes care from teams. A considerable proportion of participants did not have main diabetes care providers (11%), and many never visited diabetes nurses (46%) or dietitians (38%), which could have resulted in the higher rate of diabetes-related hospitalization (24%) compared with the general population (9%). This is consistent with evidence from other provinces, where hospitalization rates for diabetes among First Nation patients are at least double those of the general population. This is likely because of the remote rural location of most reserves, as rural individuals with diabetes are more likely to see physicians or visit emergency departments and are less likely to see specialists. Moreover, physician retention and shortage problems are generally worse in rural Alberta than in urban centres, which also limits First Nations access to appropriate diabetes care.

Screening for diabetes-related complications was not well understood by participants, and screening practices for glucose control and foot complications were poor. Approximately 46% of participants had had HbA1c tests in the past 6 months and 21% had had their feet examined within the previous year, considerably lower than the general Canadian population (60% and 36%, respectively) and than Australian aboriginals (56% to 80% and 35% to 51%, respectively) with diabetes. Although most participants had been screened according to guidelines for high cholesterol and retinopathy, a large proportion had never been tested (21% and 24%, respectively). We observed treatment gaps in the management of high cholesterol, high blood pressure, kidney damage, foot complications, and retinopathy. This is consistent with data from rural individuals with diabetes in Alberta,
Diabetes care and health status of First Nations

where treatment gaps for hyperglycemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia were detected. Moreover, our observations of undiagnosed diabetes complications support other reports showing high rates of complications among aboriginals in both Canada and the United States. The prevalence of complications is expected to increase with the rise in diabetes prevalence in First Nations communities. However, clinical trials have shown that modest improvements in Hba1c levels, blood pressure, and blood lipid levels can reduce risk of complications. These findings, in conjunction with our demonstration of suboptimal diabetes care, indicate that enhancement in quality of care and interventions to improve diabetes control are critical in First Nations communities. Community-based programs like SLICK, updated Canadian CPGs, improved diabetes treatments and care, and federally funded health promotion and prevention activities have been introduced to help close treatment gaps. Future longitudinal SLICK results are expected to determine whether or not improvements in diabetes care among Alberta First Nations people are occurring.

Limitations

Because health records were not accessed, the accuracy of our subjective assessments derived from the survey are likely less robust than our objective measures, and thus must be regarded with caution. Moreover, participants were not from a purposefully representative sample and might have been those most concerned about their health. Population-based sampling would have been desirable, but it would have been ethically challenging. Despite these limitations, this work provides valuable community-based information and represents a successful partnership between Alberta First Nations people, Health Canada, the Implementation Committee of the Aboriginal Diabetes Initiative, and the University of Alberta.

Conclusion

Diabetes care was suboptimal and diabetes care guidelines were generally not being met in Alberta First Nations individuals living on reserves. Given the lack of literature on diabetes care in this population, our results help narrow a gap in knowledge. Strategies aimed at improving metabolic control, treatment gaps, and screening for diabetes complications will be critical for rural physicians caring for First Nations individuals. The lack of a multidisciplinary team approach to diabetes care and little knowledge among patients about diabetes screening and prevention are likely important factors that resulted in the observed suboptimal health status and care. Additionally, high rates of undiagnosed diabetes complications as well as sex differences in the risk of diabetes complications were observed and should be considered by those providing diabetes care in this population.

Our findings justify the need for community-based screening for diabetes complications and improvement in diabetes care in First Nations communities. The SLICK project continues to provide a multidisciplinary team of health care providers (nurses, dietitians, retinal photographers) and aims to integrate care with rural family doctors and rural (on-reserve) health centre staff. Forthcoming results from SLICK are expected to shed some light on whether or not diabetes care improvements are occurring in First Nations communities.
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