Tools for Practice

Medical management of renal stones

More than analgesia?

G. Michael Allan MD CCFP Noah Ivers MD CCFP Michael Kolber MD CCFP

Clinical question

In patients with renal stones eligible for observation, does medical expulsion therapy (MET) improve passage of stones and other clinically relevant outcomes?

Evidence

- Meta-analysis¹ of 33 trials (3105 patients) examined α-blockers (most often tamsulosin) or calcium channel blockers (nifedipine) in patients with renal stones (primarily < 10 mm; frequently distal ureter).
 - -Stone expulsion is significantly (P < .001) better with MET than with placebo (80% vs 54%); absolute benefit (AB) of 26%; number needed to treat (NNT) of 4.
 - -MET also reduced time to expulsion, need for analgesia, pain scores, and hospitalization rates.
 - -Stone size affects the success of MET. Smaller and more distal stones are more likely to pass spontaneously and are therefore less likely to benefit from MET.
 - —Absolute benefit for stones ≥5 mm is twice that for those <5mm (31% vs 15%).
 - —Patients having extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy also benefit from MET (AB=21.6%; NNT=4.6).
- Two previous meta-analyses^{2,3} found similar benefits.
- Adverse events: MET is generally well tolerated.³
 - -Calcium channel blockers had more adverse events overall (15.2% vs 4%; mostly gastrointestinal upset) and more discontinuation (2.9% vs 0.2%).
 - -These data were poorly reported and not compared statistically.

Context

- European⁴ and US⁵ guidelines for urolithiasis recommend MET as an option when the following are met: -newly diagnosed ureteral stone <10 mm in patients without need for urgent urologic intervention; and -well-controlled pain, not septic, good renal function, and followed with periodic imaging to monitor stone position and assess hydronephrosis.
- Two recent well-done trials^{6,7} did not find a difference with MET for stone expulsion. However, -stone size in the studies was small (mean ≤4 mm) and most patients would pass these without MET^{6,7}; and -in these studies, α -blockers still reduced time to stone passage,⁶ pain scores,⁶ and need for analgesia.⁷

Bottom line

The current evidence indicates that patients with renal stones < 10 mm, who are eligible for observation, can be offered α -blockers or nifedipine to increase the chance of stone expulsion, decrease pain, and decrease the time to stone expulsion.

Implementation

Medical expulsion therapy can improve patient outcomes and decrease costs of treating renal calculi, but it is used infrequently.8 Preprinted orders seem to improve outcomes for various conditions seen in the emergency department (ED). 9,10 Eligible patients might benefit if orders used in the ED for renal colic included a check-box to encourage discussion of MET. If missed in the ED, family physicians could prescribe MET for appropriate patients after discharge. Based on dosing from trials,2 prescriptions could be 0.4 mg of tamsulosin, 5 mg of terazosin, 4 mg of doxazosin, or 30 mg of nifedipine sustained release, once a day until the stone is passed or for a maximum of 4 weeks.

Drs Allan and Kolber are Associate Professors in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Alberta in Edmonton. Dr Ivers is a family physician at Women's College Hospital in Toronto, Ont.

The opinions expressed in Tools for Practice articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.

- 1. Seitz C, Liatsikos E, Porpiglia F, Tiselius HG, Zwergel U. Medical therapy to facilitate the passage of stones: what is the evidence? Eur Urol 2009;56(3):455-71
- 2. Hollingsworth JM, Rogers MA, Kaufman SR, Bradford TJ, Saint S, Wei JT, et al. Medical therapy to facilitate urinary stone passage: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2006;368(9542):1171-9.
- 3. Singh A, Alter HJ, Littlepage A. A systematic review of medical therapy to facilitate passage of ureteral calculi. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007;50(5):552-63. Epub 2007 Aug 3. 4. Tiselius HG, Alken P, Buck C, Gallucci M, Knoll T, Sarica K, et al. *Guidelines on*
- urolithiasis. Arnhem, The Netherlands: European Association of Urology; 2009 Available from: www.uroweb.org/fileadmin/tx_eauguidelines/2008/Full/17%20 Urolithiasis.pdf. Accessed 2009 Nov 8.
- 5. American Urologic Association. 2007 guideline for the management of ureteral calculi Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007. Available from: www. guideline.gov/content.aspx?id=12209&search=ureteral+calculi. Accessed 2011 Jan 13.
- 6. Pedro RN, Hinck B, Hendlin K, Feia K, Canales BK, Monga M. Alfuzosin stone expulsion therapy for distal ureteral calculi: a double-blind, placebo controlled study. Urol 2008:179(6):2244-7.
- 7. Hermanns T, Sauermann P, Rufibach K, Frauenfelder T, Sulser T, Strebel RT. Is there a role for tamsulosin in the treatment of distal ureteral stones of 7 mm or less? Results of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Urol 2009:56(3):407-12.
- 8. Brede C, Hollingsworth JM, Faerber GJ, Taylor JS, Wolf JS Jr. Medical expulsive therapy for ureteral calculi in the real world: targeted education increases use and improves patient outcome. J Urol 2010;183(2):585-9.
- 9. Kozer E, Scolnik D, MacPherson A, Rauchwerger D, Koren G. Using a preprinted order sheet to reduce prescription errors in a pediatric emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial. Pediatrics 2005;116(6):1299-302.
- Ballard DJ, Ogola G, Fleming NS, Stauffer BD, Leonard BM, Khetan R, et al. Impact
 of a standardized heart failure order set on mortality, readmission, and quality and costs of care. Int J Qual Health Care 2010;22(6):437-444.



Tools for Practice articles in Canadian Family Physician are adapted from articles published twice monthly on the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP) website, summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice-modifying information. The ACFP summaries and the series in Canadian Family Physician are coordinated by

Dr G. Michael Allan, and the summaries are co-authored by at least 1 practising family physician. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website: www.acfp.ca.