

5. Stuber KJ, Wynd S, Weis CA. Adverse events from spinal manipulation in the pregnant and postpartum periods: a critical review of the literature. *Chiropr Man Therap* 2012;20:8.
6. George JW, Skaggs CD, Thompson PA, Nelson DM, Gavard JA, Gross GA. A randomized controlled trial comparing a multimodal intervention and standard obstetrics care for low back and pelvic pain in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;208(4):295.e1-7. Epub 2012 Oct 23.
7. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, editors. *To err is human. Building a safer health system*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1999.
8. Wetzel R, Wolters R, van Weel C, Wensing M. Mix of methods is needed to identify adverse events in general practice: a prospective observational study. *BMC Fam Pract* 2008;9:35.
9. Lefebvre R, Peterson D, Haas M. Evidence-based practice and chiropractic care. *J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med* 2012;18(1):75-9.
10. Dagenais S, Tricco AC, Haldeman S. Synthesis of recommendations for the assessment and management of low back pain from recent clinical practice guidelines. *Spine J* 2010;10(6):514-29.
11. Koes BW, van Tulder M, Lin CW, Macedo LG, McAuley J, Maher C. An updated overview of clinical guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care. *Eur Spine J* 2010;19(12):2075-94. Epub 2010 Jul 3.
12. Christensen MG, Kollasch MW, Hyland JK. *Practice analysis of chiropractic, 2010. A project report, survey analysis, and summary of the practice of chiropractic within the United States*. Greeley, CO: National Board of Chiropractic Examiners; 2010.

Misleading title

The article "Contraceptive practices and attitudes among immigrant and nonimmigrant women in Canada,"¹ which appeared in the October issue of *Canadian Family Physician*, was a most interesting and relevant article for many of us who provide reproductive health care to women. However, I am struck by the article's title, which I believe misrepresents the population under study. This study is of "immigrant and nonimmigrant women at 2 abortion clinics in Vancouver, Canada" and not "immigrant and nonimmigrant women in Canada," as it is titled. As noted in the limitations, these 2 populations might

well differ in contraceptive use, attitudes, and access, and therefore this distinction is critical. Correctly specifying the population under study throughout a manuscript, including its title and tables, is important to prevent misinformation, potentially inappropriate stigma, and misappropriation of resources.

—Fiona G. Kouyoumdjian MD MPH PhD
Hamilton, Ont

Competing interests

None declared

Reference

1. Wiebe E. Contraceptive practices and attitudes among immigrant and nonimmigrant women in Canada. *Can Fam Physician* 2013;59:e451-5. Available from: www.cfp.ca/content/59/10/e451.full.pdf+html. Accessed 2013 Nov 13.

Correction

In the photography spread from November's cover story,¹ the photograph of Dr Casey-Campbell's newly arrived daughter Celeste should have been attributed to Jenna Faye Photography. *Canadian Family Physician* apologizes for this omission.

Reference

1. The faces of family medicine. Milly Casey-Campbell CD MSc MD. *Can Fam Physician* 2013;59:1238-9.