Higher medication doses in heart failure?

Tools for Practice

James McCormack PharmD  Finlay A. McAlister MD  MSc  FRCP

Clinical question
Does getting patients to target or using higher doses of heart failure (HF) medications improve outcomes?

Bottom line
In HF, higher-dose angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), β-blockers (BBs), and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) result in non-significant improvements in mortality, inconsistent decreases in HF hospitalizations, and more dizziness or hypotension (4% to 15%), dose reductions (20%), and stopping medication (2% to 8%). Starting patients on low doses and focusing on tolerability is essential.

Evidence
The largest RCTs, usually in patients with class II HF, of
- The largest RCTs, usually in patients with class II HF, of—The was no difference in death, HF hospitalization, and cardiovascular disease (21% vs 23%), but an increase in dose reductions (23% vs 0.7%, NNH=5).
- Meta-regression confirmed lack of dose benefit.3
- For BBs:
  - The MOCHA1 trial (N=345) compared 25 mg with 6.25 mg of carvedilol twice daily for 6 months.
    —There was no statistical difference in mortality (1% vs 6%), cardiovascular hospitalizations (both 11%), or dizziness (24% vs 38%), but increased bradycardia (12% vs 1%, NNH=10).
  - The J-CHF2 trial (N=364) compared 10 mg with 1.25 mg of carvedilol twice daily for 3 years.
    —There was no difference in death, HF hospitalization, and cardiovascular disease (21% vs 23%), but an increase in dose reductions (23% vs 0.7%, NNH=5).
- For ACEIs:
  - The ATLAS4 trial (N=3164, 77% class III HF) compared 10 mg with 2.5 mg of enalapril twice daily for 6 months.
    —There was no difference in mortality (43% vs 45%) or any hospitalization (37% vs 39%), but there was decreased mortality plus hospitalization (80% vs 84%, NNT=25), and there was more dizziness (19% vs 12%) and hypotension (11% vs 7%).
  - The NETWORK5 trial (1532 ACEI-naïve patients) compared 10 with 2.5 mg of enalapril twice daily for 6 months.
    —There was no difference in death, HF hospitalization, or worsening symptoms (15% vs 13%), but more treatment withdrawals (27% vs 19%, NNH=13).
- For ARBs:
  - The HEAAL6 trial (N=3846) compared 150 mg with 50 mg of losartan for 4.7 years.
    —There was decreased death plus HF admission (43% vs 47%, NNT=30) and HF admission (23% vs 26%, NNT=35), similar mortality (33% vs 35%), and more hypotension and hyperkalemia (NNH about 30).

Context
• Target doses are often unattainable, even in clinical trials: about 50% of patients achieve 50% of target doses.7
• Despite inconsistent RCT evidence, guidelines recommend trying to achieve targets and using higher doses,8 based in part on non-dose-response HF studies.9-11

Implementation
Aldosterone antagonists, ACEIs, ARBs, and BBs reduce morbidity and mortality in HF patients with reduced (<40%) left ventricular ejection fraction; benefits have not been shown with preserved ejection fraction.12 Aldosterone antagonists have similar benefit but are prescribed less often.13 Ideally, patients should be taking ACEIs, ARBs, BBs, or aldosterone antagonists, but which to start first and how to optimize tolerability is unknown. After initiation or dose increases, monitor for adverse events (eg, hypotension, bradycardia, dizziness, and electrolyte or creatinine abnormalities).12
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