

# Strategies for initiating insulin in type 2 diabetes

Christina Korownyk MD CCFP Noah Ivers MD CCFP G. Michael Allan MD CCFP

## Clinical question

What is the optimal regimen for initiating insulin in type 2 diabetes (T2D)?

## Evidence

Four reasonably sized randomized controlled trials address initiating insulin in T2D with poor glucose control.

- The 4-T study<sup>1</sup> followed 708 patients for 3 years, comparing long-acting basal insulin once daily, biphasic mixed insulin twice daily, and prandial insulin with meals.<sup>1</sup>
  - Levels of glycated hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) were not significantly different among the 3 groups.
  - Significantly more patients in the basal and prandial groups attained HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels  $\leq 7.0\%$  (63% and 67%, respectively, vs 49% biphasic;  $P < .001$ ).
  - Those taking basal insulin had significantly ( $P < .05$ ) ...
    - less weight gain (3.6 kg) than patients using prandial (6.4 kg) or biphasic insulin (5.7 kg),
    - fewer confirmed symptomatic hypoglycemic events per year (1.7 basal vs 3.0 biphasic vs 5.7 prandial), and
    - higher total doses of insulin than biphasic patients.
  - More patients using basal insulin (82%) also required a second type of insulin (vs 74% prandial, 68% biphasic).
- The 3 other studies<sup>2-4</sup> followed 160 to 418 patients (total 811) for 6 months to 1 year and compared basal with prandial,<sup>2</sup> basal with biphasic,<sup>3</sup> and biphasic with prandial<sup>4</sup> insulin.
  - Levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> were generally similar, except biphasic insulin improved HbA<sub>1c</sub> 0.5% more than basal insulin in 1 study and got more people to HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels  $\leq 7.0\%$ .<sup>3</sup>
  - Basal insulin had significantly less hypoglycemia than prandial ( $P < .001$ )<sup>2</sup> or biphasic ( $P < .05$ )<sup>3</sup> insulin and less weight gain than biphasic ( $P < .01$ )<sup>3</sup> insulin.

## Context

- The 4-T study<sup>1</sup> is given priority because it is the largest and longest and compares the 3 options. Fortunately, the remaining studies<sup>2-4</sup> generally support those findings.
- INSIGHT<sup>5</sup> found initiating basal insulin in poorly controlled T2D resulted in significantly lower HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels than continued oral hypoglycemic agents did ( $P = .005$ ).
  - Mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and rates of hypoglycemia were not different between patients of FPs and diabetes experts.<sup>6</sup>
- Specialists are 5 times more likely to initiate insulin.<sup>7</sup>

## Bottom line

In T2D poorly controlled with oral agents, initiating basal insulin results in similar HbA<sub>1c</sub> reductions compared with prandial or biphasic insulin and might

cause less weight gain and hypoglycemia. Family physicians who start insulin are as effective as specialists.

## Implementation

While newer insulin products have theoretical advantages, a meta-analysis found that compared with neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH), longer-acting insulin offers little or no benefit but costs much more.<sup>8</sup> Advantages from reductions in hypoglycemia are at high risk of bias.<sup>9</sup> To initiate basal insulin, prescribe NPH, 10 units daily at bedtime, increasing by 1 unit each night until fasting blood glucose is 4 to 7 mmol/L, remembering to educate the patient about hypoglycemia.<sup>10</sup> A printable document available online simplifies the process of prescribing insulin<sup>11</sup>: [www.ocfp.on.ca/local/files/Insulin\\_Prescription\\_Rev1.pdf](http://www.ocfp.on.ca/local/files/Insulin_Prescription_Rev1.pdf).

Dr Korownyk is Assistant Professor and Dr Allan is Associate Professor in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Alberta in Edmonton. Dr Ivers is a family physician at Women's College Hospital in Toronto, Ont.

The opinions expressed in Tools for Practice articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.

## References

1. Holman RR, Farmer AJ, Davies MJ, Levy JC, Darbyshire JL, Keenan JF, et al. Three-year efficacy of complex insulin regimens in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361(18):1736-47.
2. Bretzel RG, Nuber U, Landgraf W, Owens DR, Bradley C, Linn T. Once-daily basal insulin glargine versus thrice-daily prandial insulin lispro in people with type 2 diabetes on oral hypoglycaemic agents (APOLLO): an open randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2008;371(9618):1073-84.
3. Raskin P, Allen E, Hollander P, Lewin A, Gabbay RA, Hu P, et al. Initiating insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes: a comparison of biphasic and basal insulin analogs. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28(2):260-5.
4. Hirao K, Arai K, Yamauchi M, Takagi H, Kobayashi M. Six-month multicentric, open-label, randomized trial of twice-daily injections of biphasic insulin aspart 30 versus multiple daily injections of insulin aspart in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients (JDDM 11). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2008;79(1):171-6.
5. Gerstein HC, Yale JF, Harris SB, Issa M, Stewart JA, Dempsey E. A randomized trial of adding insulin glargine vs. avoidance of insulin in people with type 2 diabetes on either no oral glucose-lowering agents or submaximal doses of metformin and/or sulphonylureas. The Canadian INSIGHT study. *Diabet Med* 2006;23(7):736-42.
6. Harris S, Yale JF, Dempsey E, Gerstein H. Can family physicians help patients initiate basal insulin therapy successfully? Randomized trial of patient-titrated insulin glargine compared with standard oral therapy: lessons for family practice from the Canadian INSIGHT trial. *Can Fam Physician* 2008;54:550-8.
7. Shah BR, Hux JE, Laupacis A, Zinman B, van Walraven C. Clinical inertia in response to inadequate glycemic control: do specialists differ from primary care physicians? *Diabetes Care* 2005;28(3):600-6.
8. Singh SR, Ahmad F, Lal A, Yu C, Bai Z, Bennett H. Efficacy and safety of insulin analogues for the management of diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 2009;180(4):385-97.
9. Allan GM, Virani AS. Tools for Practice: the long and short of long acting insulin analogues (versus NPH)? Edmonton, AB: Alberta College of Family Physicians; 2010. Available from: [www.acfp.ca/docs10/Insulin%20Analogues%20versus%20NPH.pdf](http://www.acfp.ca/docs10/Insulin%20Analogues%20versus%20NPH.pdf). Accessed 2011 Feb 25.
10. British Columbia Guidelines and Protocols Advisory Committee. *Diabetes care*. Vancouver, BC: British Columbia Ministry of Health; 2010. Available from: [www.bcguidelines.ca/gpac/pdf/diabetes.pdf](http://www.bcguidelines.ca/gpac/pdf/diabetes.pdf). Accessed 2011 Feb 25.
11. Ontario College of Family Physicians. *Insulin prescription*. Toronto, ON: Ontario College of Family Physicians; 2010. Available from: [www.ocfp.on.ca/local/files/Insulin\\_Prescription\\_Rev1.pdf](http://www.ocfp.on.ca/local/files/Insulin_Prescription_Rev1.pdf). Accessed 2011 Feb 25.



Tools for Practice articles in *Canadian Family Physician* are adapted from articles published twice monthly on the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP) website, summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice-modifying information. The ACFP summaries and the series in *Canadian Family Physician* are coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan, and the summaries are co-authored by at least 1 practising family physician. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to [toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca](mailto:toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca). Archived articles are available on the ACFP website: [www.acfp.ca](http://www.acfp.ca).