Tools for Practice

Does calcium supplementation increase risk of myocardial infarction?

Christina Korownyk MD CCFP Noah Ivers MD CCFP G. Michael Allan MD CCFP

Clinical question

Do calcium supplements increase risk of myocardial infarction (MI) and other cardiovascular disease (CVD)?

Evidence

Three systematic reviews had differing conclusions.

- The first reviewed 15 RCTs comparing calcium supplementation (≥500 mg/d) with placebo.1
 - -Only 1 CVD outcome reached statistical significance.
 - —Calcium increased MI risk: relative risk (RR) 1.27 (95% confidence interval [CII 1.01 to 1.59).
 - —Absolute risk was less than 1% and the number needed to harm (NNH) for 1 MI was 135 to 211 over 4 years. -Concern: overinterpretation of data, including calculating NNH for non-significant outcomes.
- · Another systematic review examined 17 studies comparing vitamin D, calcium, or both with placebo.²
 - -No comparisons reached statistical significance.
 - -Concerns: excluded relevant studies, small sample size, and no analysis of different outcomes.
 - -More than 99% of data for calcium and vitamin D versus placebo were from the Women's Health Initiative (WHI),3 and 54% of participants were taking extra calcium.⁴
- A subgroup (similar to per-protocol) analysis of WHI data⁵ excluding those taking extra calcium found borderlinesignificant increases in hazard ratios for MI (1.22 [95% CI 1.00 to 1.50]) and MI or stroke (1.16 [95% CI 1.00 to 1.35]). -Updating the previous meta-analysis1 with these data, calcium (with or without vitamin D) significantly increased⁵
 - —MI (NNH 240 over 5 years, P=.004) and
 - —MI or stroke (NNH 178 over 5 years, P = .009).
 - -Concerns: large number of comparisons, subgroup analyses, and possible conflict of interest.

Context

- No RCT of calcium supplementation was designed to assess CVD outcomes.^{1,2} These meta-analyses¹⁻³ represent post-hoc analyses of secondary or unplanned outcomes, possibly inadequately reported.6
- Trials of vitamin D alone do not suggest CVD harm.⁷
- Calcium (88% with vitamin D) reduces fracture (any type); number need to treat is 63 over 3.5 years.8 -Calcium alone just failed to reach statistical significance. -Other studies suggest calcium alone does not reduce nonvertebral fracture and might *increase* hip fracture.^{9,10}

Bottom line

Evidence suggests that calcium supplementation might

slightly increase the risk of MI and perhaps other CVD. Although there are limitations to the evidence and the increased CVD risk is likely less than 1%, the benefit-toharm ratio might not favour calcium supplementation.

Implementation

It is difficult to help patients weigh probability of risk against benefit. Both patients and physicians tend to react more strongly to RR differences than to absolute differences.11 Framing outcomes positively ("survival") will lead to different patient decisions than framing them negatively ("mortality"). 12 In this case, it might suffice to tell patients that if 100 patients take calcium supplements, we expect that less than 1 will have a fracture prevented and less than 1 will develop a heart attack or stroke. For the other 98 patients, calcium will have no effect at all (on these outcomes).

Dr Korownyk is Assistant Professor and Dr Allan is Associate Professor, both in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Alberta in Edmonton. Dr Ivers is a family physician at Women's College Hospital in Toronto, Ont.

The opinions expressed in Tools for Practice articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.

References

- 1. Bolland MJ, Avenell A, Baron JA, Grey A, MacLennan GS, Gamble GD, et al. Effect of calcium supplements on risk of myocardial infarction and cardiovascular events: meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;341:c3691
- 2. Wang L, Manson JE, Song Y, Sesso HD. Systematic review: vitamin D and calcium supplementation in prevention of cardiovascular events. Ann Intern Med 2010:152(5):315-23.
- 3. Hsia J, Heiss G, Ren H, Allison M, Dolan NC, Greenland P, et al. Calcium/vitamin D supplementation and cardiovascular events. Circulation 2007;115(7):846-54
- 4. Wactawski-Wende J, Kotchen JM, Anderson GL, Assaf AR, Brunner RL, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;354(7):684-96.
- 5. Bolland MJ, Grey A, Avenell A, Gamble GD, Reid IR. Calcium supplements with or without vitamin D and risk of cardiovascular events: reanalysis of the Women's Health Initiative limited access dataset and meta-analysis. BMJ 2011;342:d2040.
- 6. Bolland MJ, Barber PA, Doughty RN, Mason B, Horne A, Ames R, et al. Vascular events in healthy older women receiving calcium supplementation: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2008;336(7638):262-6.
- 7. Pittas AG, Chung M, Trikalinos T, Mitri J, Brendel M, Patel K, et al. Systematic review:
- vitamin D and cardiometabolic outcomes. *Ann Intern Med* 2010;152(5):307-14.

 8. Tang BM, Eslick GD, Nowson C, Smith C, Bensoussan A. Use of calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D supplementation to prevent fractures and bone loss in people aged 50 years and older: a meta-analysis. Lancet 2007;370(9588):657-66.
- 9. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Baron JA, Burckhardt P, Li R, Spiegelman D, et al. Calcium intake and hip fracture risk in men and women: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;86(6):1780-90.
- Reid IR, Bolland MJ, Grey A. Effect of calcium supplementation on hip fractures. Osteoporos Int 2008;19(8):1119-23. Epub 2008 Feb 20.
- 11. Covey J. A meta-analysis of the effects of presenting treatment benefits in different formats. Med Decis Making 2007;27(5):638-54.
- 12. Moxey A, O'Connell D, McGettigan P, Henry D. Describing treatment effects to patients. J Gen Intern Med 2003;18(11):948-59.



Tools for Practice articles in Canadian Family Physician are adapted from articles published twice monthly on the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP) website, summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice-modifying information. The ACFP summaries and the

series in Canadian Family Physician are coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan, and the summaries are co-authored by at least 1 practising family physician. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website: www.acfp.ca.