

Defining and measuring a palliative approach in primary care

Joshua D. Shadd MD CCFP MCISc Fred Burge MD FCFP MSc Kelli I. Stajduhar RN PhD S. Robin Cohen PhD
Mary Lou Kelley MSW PhD Barbara Pesut PhD RN

The most frequently cited statistic about palliative care in Canada, quoted in numerous publications from Canadian policy makers,¹ politicians,² academics,³ advocates,⁴ and the mass media,⁵ is that “only 16-30% of those who need it receiv[e] palliative care.”⁶ The fallacy in this claim, of course, is the implication that all Canadians approaching the end of life should be cared for by specialist palliative care teams. The widely held perception is that primary care’s role in providing palliative care is both minor and shrinking, and that the system’s response should be to build webs of specialist palliative care to assume responsibility for the growing numbers of these patients.

Myth of 16%

In truth, family physicians provide the bulk of the medical care to community-dwelling patients approaching the end of life.^{7,8} So if we know that primary care teams provide palliative care for most community patients, why is the myth of 16% so widespread? Confusion arises because *palliative care* is a term used to refer both to an approach to care, and to a specialized care team. As an approach to care, palliative care appreciates death as a normal life event, emphasizes good communication and clarification of goals of care, and focuses on quality of life including symptom management. However, the term is also used to identify the specially trained individuals whose work focuses exclusively on this patient population. As family physicians we recognize that all patients with life-threatening illness should receive palliative care as an approach, but this care does not always need to be provided by specialized physicians or teams. Continuity of care with primary care providers is valued by palliative care patients^{9,10} and is cost-effective for the system.¹¹

If we can so easily clarify this distinction between palliative care as an approach and palliative care as a discipline, why does the myth of 16% persist? One reason is that we have failed to clearly describe the contribution that primary care can, should, and does make in the treatment of patients with palliative needs. To do this we need to define, in the most concrete terms possible, exactly what a palliative approach in primary care entails. What does it mean to provide access to palliative care in our primary care practices? We need to be able to recognize and measure the degree to which our primary care systems are meeting patients’ palliative care

needs. It might be that only 16% to 30% of Canadians approaching the end of life are served by specialist palliative care teams, but is it not better to ask how many Canadians have their palliative care needs adequately met? Even more to the point for us as family physicians, how many Canadians have their palliative care needs adequately met in primary care?

Ensuring a palliative approach in primary care

The reality is that merely having a primary care provider does not guarantee access to a palliative approach in primary care. We all know a few family physicians who will not prescribe opioids under any circumstances, do not do home visits, and are unable to offer after-hours care beyond a telephone message directing patients to the nearest emergency department. To have one’s palliative care needs met, one requires care that attends to those needs—in other words, care that is consistent with a palliative approach. While there is no formal definition of a palliative approach in primary care, the essential idea is that interprofessional primary care teams, regardless of the setting of care, should have in place the skills, resources, and processes necessary to recognize, assess, and manage basic palliative care needs in a timely fashion (24 hours a day, 7 days a week) in a community setting (office, home, or long-term care facility).

Ensuring patients have access to a palliative approach in primary care does not imply a one-size-fits-all policy, expecting each primary care team to offer an identical basket of services in the exact same manner. Access to a palliative approach in primary care need not be monolithic, but it must be universal.¹² We do not all need to do the same things the same way, but a palliative approach to care should be practised by all health care providers who look after patients living with life-threatening illnesses.

This conversation does not concern family medicine alone. The point is not that we, as family physicians, are the ones who must meet every need for every patient. Access to a palliative approach in primary care requires that in every primary care setting (outpatient offices, home care organizations, long-term care facilities, and elsewhere), providers of every discipline (family


This article has been peer reviewed. *Can Fam Physician* 2013;59:1149-50

Cet article se trouve aussi en français à la page 1156.

physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, pharmacists, personal support workers, paramedics, social workers, and others) possess and implement the basic palliative care knowledge, skills, and attitudes pertinent to their discipline. This requires not just education,¹³ but also an infrastructure, a policy environment, and a culture of care delivery that facilitates a palliative approach in primary care. A palliative approach in primary care also requires appropriate support from specialists for patients with complex needs. High-quality palliative care, like high-quality maternity care or mental health care, depends on cooperation and coordination between primary care and consultant specialist teams.

Conclusion

Canadians facing life-limiting illnesses expect their primary care providers to help address their palliative care needs. The responsibility to demonstrate that we are meeting this expectation is ours. To ensure that our patients are getting access to a palliative approach in primary care, we need to define it, describe it, support it, teach it, and measure it. Primary care's contributions to serving Canadians approaching the end of life is already well appreciated by those patients and their families, but only when we measure it can our role in the palliative care system be recognized by our colleagues and accounted for appropriately by system planners. Only then will we be able to counter the myth of 16%.

Our patients deserve to have access to a palliative approach in primary care. Let's make it explicit. Let's make it expected. Let's make it happen. 

Dr Shadd is Assistant Professor in the Centre for Studies in Family Medicine in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Western Ontario in London. **Dr Burge** is Professor and Research Director in the Department of Family Medicine at Dalhousie University in Halifax, NS. **Dr Stajduhar** is Associate Professor in the School of Nursing and Centre on Aging at the University of Victoria and Research Scientist for the Fraser Health Authority in British Columbia. **Dr Cohen** is Research Director and Associate Professor in the Palliative Care Program in the Department of Oncology and the Department of Medicine at McGill University in Montreal, Que, and Senior Investigator at the Lady Davis Institute

for Medical Research of the Jewish General Hospital. **Dr Kelley** is Professor in the School of Social Work at the Northern Ontario School of Medicine in Sudbury, Ont, and Research Chair in Palliative Care at Lakehead University. **Dr Pesut** is Associate Professor in the School of Nursing at the University of British Columbia Okanagan campus in Kelowna, and Canada Research Chair in Health, Ethics and Diversity.

Acknowledgment

All authors are members of PINNACLE (Primary Healthcare Innovations for People with Advancing Chronic Life-Limiting Conditions); the PINNACLE team received financial support for their research program from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Competing interests

None declared

Correspondence

Dr Joshua D. Shadd, Centre for Studies in Family Medicine, Western Centre for Public Health and Family Medicine, London, ON N6A 3K7; telephone 519 858-5028; fax 519 858-5029; e-mail joshua.shadd@schulich.uwo.ca

The opinions expressed in commentaries are those of the authors. Publication does not imply endorsement by the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

References

- Canadian Institute for Health Information. *Health care use at the end of life in western Canada*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2007. Available from: <https://secure.cihi.ca/estore/productFamily.htm?pf=PF0876&lang=fr&media=0>. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Carstairs S. *Raising the bar: a roadmap for the future of palliative care in Canada*. Ottawa, ON: The Senate of Canada; 2010. Available from: www.chpca.net/media/7859/Raising_the_Bar_June_2010.pdf. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Schuklenk U, van Delden JJ, Downie J, McLean S, Upshur R, Weinstock D. *The Royal Society of Canada Expert Panel: end-of-life decision making*. Ottawa, ON: Royal Society of Canada; 2011.
- Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association. *Fact sheet: hospice palliative care in Canada*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association; 2012. Available from: www.chpca.net/media/7622/fact_sheet_hpc_in_canada_may_2012_final.pdf. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Priest L. To go gently into that good night: when quality of death can enhance quality of life. *The Globe and Mail* 2012 Mar 17. Available from: www.theglobeandmail.com/life/health-and-fitness/where-quality-of-death-can-enhance-quality-of-life/article534641. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Parliamentary Committee on Palliative and Compassionate Care. *Not to be forgotten: care of vulnerable Canadians*. Ottawa, ON: Parliamentary Committee on Palliative and Compassionate Care; 2011. Available from: <http://pccpcpspc.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/ReportEN.pdf>. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Del Giudice L, Bondy SJ, Chen Z, Maaten S. Physician care of cancer patients. In: Jaakkimainen L, Upshur R, Klein-Geltink J, Leon A, Maaten S, Schultz S, et al. *Primary care in Ontario*. Toronto, ON: Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences; 2006. Available from: www.ices.on.ca/file/PC_atlas_chapter10.pdf. Accessed 2012 Aug 15.
- Mitchell GK. How well do general practitioners deliver palliative care? A systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2002;16(6):457-64.
- Norman A, Sisler J, Hack T, Harlos M. Family physicians and cancer care. Palliative care patients' perspectives. *Can Fam Physician* 2001;47:2009-12, 2015-6.
- Michiels E, Deschepper R, Van Der Kelen G, Bernheim JL, Mortier F, Vander Stichele R, et al. The role of general practitioners in continuity of care at the end of life: a qualitative study of terminally ill patients and their next of kin. *Palliat Med* 2007;21(5):409-15.
- Aggarwal M, Hutchison B; Canadian Working Group for Primary Healthcare Improvement. *Toward a primary care strategy for Canada*. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement; 2012. Available from: www.cfhi-fcass.ca/Libraries/Reports/Primary-Care-Strategy-EN.sfb.ashx. Accessed 2013 Sep 25.
- Murray SA, Mitchell GK, Burge F, Barnard A, Nowels D, Charlton R. It's time to develop primary care services for the dying. *J Palliat Care* 2006;22(2):115-6.
- Monette M. Palliative care training substandard. *CMAJ* 2012;184(12):E643-4. Epub 2013 Jul 30.