

It is not ethical to define perpetual responsibility for patients' health care access as an individual professional responsibility.

—Gerry D. Prince MD CCFP FCFP FAAFP
Medicine Hat, Alta

Competing interests

None declared

References

1. Prince GD. Legislating away the future of family practice. Dangerous transition from continuity of care to continuous access. *Can Fam Physician* 2016;62:869-71 (Eng), e642-4 (Fr).
2. Ziomek A. Professional standards in the best interest of patients [Letters]. *Can Fam Physician* 2017;63:110.
3. College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba. *Statement no. 190. Practice coverage—after hours and vacation*. Winnipeg, MB: College of Physicians and Surgeons of Manitoba; 2014.
4. Salehi L. Expanding after-hours access to primary care unlikely to decrease burden on EDs [Letters]. *Can Fam Physician* 2017;63:100-1.
5. Province of Alberta. *Health Professions Act. Revised statutes of Alberta 2000. Chapter H-7*. Edmonton, AB: Province of Alberta; 2016. Available from: www.qp.alberta.ca/documents/acts/h07.pdf. Accessed 2017 Jan 11.
6. Manitoba Government [website]. *The Regulated Health Professions Act. Part 2. Reserved acts*. Winnipeg, MB: Manitoba Government; 2016. Available from: <http://web2.gov.mb.ca/laws/statutes/2009/c01509e.php>. Accessed 2017 Jan 11.

Correction

In the cover story that appeared in the December issue of *Canadian Family Physician*,¹ an error was inadvertently introduced in the section on Dr Brian Day's court challenge. This section should have read as follows:

Dr Day's challenge claims that provincial health legislation, which limits private funding and extra billing for medically necessary services, violates the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

Canadian Family Physician apologizes for this error and any confusion it might have caused.

Reference

1. De Leeuw S. Aiming at the right things. Reflections on public health care, community, and social accountability [Cover Story]. *Can Fam Physician* 2016;62:1000-3 (Eng), e777-80 (Fr).

Correction

Dans le récit de la page couverture paru dans le numéro de décembre du *Médecin de famille canadien*,¹ une erreur s'est glissée par inadvertance dans la section mentionnant la poursuite du D^r Brian Day devant les tribunaux. La phrase aurait dû se lire comme suit:

L'argument du D^r Day invoque que la loi provinciale sur la santé, qui limite le financement privé et la surfacturation pour des services médicalement nécessaires, enfreint la *Charte canadienne des droits et libertés*.

Le Médecin de famille canadien présente ses excuses pour cette erreur et toute confusion qu'elle aurait pu causer.

Référence

1. De Leeuw S. Viser les bonnes cibles. Réflexions sur la santé publique, la communauté et la responsabilité sociale [Récit de la page couverture]. *Can Fam Physician* 2016;62:1000-3 (ang), e777-80 (fr).