

Point-of-care testing for group A streptococcal pharyngitis

Rodger Craig MPH Tony Nickonchuk BScPharm Christina Korownyk MD CCFP

Clinical question

In patients with sore throat, how accurate are point-of-care tests in the diagnosis of group A β -hemolytic streptococcal (GABHS) pharyngitis?

Bottom line

Point-of-care testing, including rapid antigen detection and newer nucleic acid detection, is useful for ruling in a diagnosis of GABHS when test results are positive (specificity of 95% to 99%). Nucleic acid detection might be more sensitive than rapid antigen detection (92% vs 85%). While immediate testing and treatment might not always be required, populations at increased risk of GABHS complications, such as Indigenous people in Canada, are more likely to benefit.

Evidence

- Studies of rapid antigen detection tests versus culture (3 systematic reviews of 43 to 98 studies including 18464 to 101 121 patients) found the following¹⁻³:
 - sensitivity was consistently about 85% and specificity was consistently about 95%, and
 - the positive likelihood ratio (LR+) was 16.8 and the negative likelihood ratio (LR-) was 0.16.
- Studies of nucleic acid detection tests versus culture found the following:
 - In 1 systematic review of 6 studies (1937 patients),³ sensitivity and specificity were 92% and 99%, respectively, LR+ was 92, and LR- was 0.08.
 - Similar evidence was published after the above reviews (eg, sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 93% to 98%^{4,5}).
- There was no significant difference in point-of-care performance between adult and pediatric populations.¹⁻³
- Limitations: included studies had high heterogeneity and rapid testing is not currently publicly funded.

Context

- An LR+ above 10 indicates the test helps rule in diagnosis.
- Clinical decision rules (eg, Centor score) have limited predictive value for diagnosing GABHS pharyngitis⁶:
 - A meta-analysis (11 studies) showed a sensitivity of 49%, a specificity of 82%, and an LR+ of 2.68.
- Empiric treatment for sore throat is common (about 60%).⁷ Point-of-care testing might improve appropriate antibiotic prescribing.⁸

- Antibiotics statistically significantly reduce sore throat at day 3 (44% vs 71%, number needed to treat [NNT] of 4), peritonsillar abscess (0.1% vs 2%, NNT=47), and rheumatic fever (0.6% vs 1.7%, NNT=90).⁹
 - Rheumatic fever data are from before 1950; incidence has declined substantially in developed countries.
- Populations with a higher incidence of GABHS complications, such as Indigenous people in Canada, might be more likely to benefit from antibiotic treatment.¹⁰
- Many international guidelines consider GABHS pharyngitis self-limiting and do not recommend antibiotic treatment.¹¹
- Delayed prescriptions decrease antibiotic use with no significant effect on symptom duration or clinical outcomes.¹²

Implementation

Rapid point-of-care testing cannot distinguish between carriage of GABHS and active infection, nor does it indicate antibiotic susceptibility or strain virulence. Cost effectiveness is uncertain, but given the limited predictive value of clinical decision rules, management guided by point-of-care testing is likely cost effective. However, neither can replace a thorough clinical assessment, and use of these tests should depend partly on whether the result will change therapeutic decisions.

Mr Craig is a medical student at the University of Alberta in Edmonton. Mr Nickonchuk is a clinical pharmacist with Alberta Health Services in Peace River and Northwest Health Centres. Dr Korownyk is Associate Professor with the PEER Group in the Department of Family Medicine at the University of Alberta.

Competing interests
None declared

The opinions expressed in Tools for Practice articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.

References

1. Stewart EH, Davis B, Clemans-Taylor BL, Littenberg B, Estrada CA, Centor RM. Rapid antigen group A streptococcus test to diagnose pharyngitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014;9(11):e111727.
2. Cohen JF, Bertille N, Cohen R, Chalumeau M. Rapid antigen detection test for group A streptococcus in children with pharyngitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;(7):CD010502.
3. Lean WL, Arnup S, Danchin M, Steer AC. Rapid diagnostic tests for group A streptococcal pharyngitis: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014;134(4):771-81.
4. Wang F, Tian Y, Chen L, Luo R, Sickler J, Liesenfeld O, et al. Accurate detection of *Streptococcus pyogenes* at the point of care using the Cobas Liat Strep A nucleic acid test. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2017;56(12):1128-34.
5. Weinzierl EP, Jerris RC, Gonzalez MD, Piccini JA, Rogers BB. Comparison of Alere i Strep A rapid molecular assay with rapid antigen testing and culture in a pediatric outpatient setting. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2018 Jun 19. Epub ahead of print.
6. Aalbers J, O'Brien KK, Chan WS, Falk GA, Teljeur C, Dimitrov BD, et al. Predicting streptococcal pharyngitis in adults in primary care: a systematic review of the diagnostic accuracy of symptoms and signs and validation of the Centor score. *BMC Med* 2011;9:67.
7. Barnett ML, Linder JA. Antibiotic prescribing to adults with sore throat in the United States, 1997-2010. *JAMA Intern Med* 2014;174(1):138-40.
8. Rao A, Berg B, Quezada T, Fader R, Walker K, Tang S, et al. Diagnosis and antibiotic treatment of group A streptococcal pharyngitis in children in a primary care setting: impact of point-of-care polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Pediatr* 2019;19(1):24.
9. Spinks A, Glasziou PP, Del Mar CB. Antibiotics for sore throat. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(11):CD000023.
10. Madden S, Kelly L. Update on acute rheumatic fever. It still exists in remote communities. *Can Fam Physician* 2009;55:475-8.
11. Van Brusselen D, Vlieghe E, Schelstraete P, De Meulder F, Vandeputte C, Garmyn K, et al. Streptococcal pharyngitis in children: to treat or not to treat? *Eur J Pediatr* 2014;173(10):1275-83.
12. Spurling GK, Del Mar CB, Dooley L, Foxlee R, Farley R. Delayed antibiotic prescriptions for respiratory infections. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017;(9):CD004417.

Tools for Practice articles in *Canadian Family Physician* are adapted from articles published on the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP) website, summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice-modifying information. The ACFP summaries and the series in *Canadian Family Physician* are coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan, and the summaries are co-authored by at least 1 practising family physician and are peer reviewed. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website: www.acfp.ca.