

4. Blau E, Asrar FM, Arya N, Schabot I, Abelsohn A, Price D. Greener medical homes. Environmental responsibility in family medicine. *Can Fam Physician* 2016;62:381-4 (Eng), e226-30 (Fr).
5. Arya N, Zigby J, Mah JJ, Jing Mu LJ, Marshall L, Varangu L, et al. *Green office toolkit—for clinicians and office managers*. Halifax, NS: Canadian Coalition for Green Health Care; 2018. Available from: <https://greenhealthcare.ca/green-office-toolkit>. Accessed 2020 Jan 7.
6. Perrotta K, editor. *Climate change toolkit for health professionals*. Toronto, ON: Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment; 2019. Available from: <https://cape.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Climate-Change-Toolkit-for-Health-Professionals-Updated-April-2019-2.pdf>. Accessed 2020 Jan 7.
7. Kipp A, Cunsolo A, Vodden K, King N, Manners S, Harper SL. At-a-glance. Climate change impacts on health and wellbeing in rural and remote regions across Canada: a synthesis of the literature. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can* 2019;39(4):122-6.
8. Mercer C. Medical students call for more education on climate change. *CMAJ* 2019;191(10):E291-2.

## Pharmacists' role in the direct oral anticoagulant dilemma

**A**s a pharmacist working with a family health team, I want to commend Dr Wohlgemut on this well written article "The 'direct' dilemma. Oral anticoagulants and the parameters of public prescribing," which was published in the November issue of *Canadian Family Physician*.<sup>1</sup> This is a common issue I see in practice, and I appreciate how he has outlined the dilemma.

I would add that pharmacists in the community can act as medication stewards, and can be in the position of reviewing "limited-use" criteria for coverage eligibility. I also present these 2 issues:

- Should a pharmacist refuse to bill a prescription to Ontario Drug Benefits if he or she knows the limited-use code is not appropriate?
- Should a family physician refilling a direct oral anticoagulant prescription originally authorized by a cardiologist with a limited-use code feel obligated to put the code on the refill prescription, even if the patient does not fulfil criteria?

I raise these issues because different professionals within the patient's circle of care might have different approaches and possibly provide inconsistent messaging to patients.

—Suzanne Singh RPh  
Toronto, Ont

### Competing interests

None declared

### Reference

1. Wohlgemut J. The "direct" dilemma. Oral anticoagulants and the parameters of public prescribing. *Can Fam Physician* 2019;65:775-6 (Eng), 780-2 (Fr).

## Correction

In the Tools for Practice article "Putting the *fun* in fungi: toenail onychomycosis,"<sup>1</sup> which was published in the December issue of *Canadian Family Physician*, there was a percentage error in the final sentence of the bottom line paragraph. The last line in that paragraph should have read as follows:

Topical treatments should be reserved for cases with minimal ( $\leq 40\%$ ) nail involvement.

The authors apologize for any confusion this might have caused. The online version has been corrected.

### Reference

1. Lindblad A, Jardine S, Kolber MR. Putting the *fun* in fungi: toenail onychomycosis. *Can Fam Physician* 2019;65:900 (Eng), e513-4 (Fr).

## Correction

Dans l'article de la série Outils pour la pratique, intitulé «Le fond du fongus:l'onychomycose de l'ongle d'orteil»<sup>1</sup> et publié dans le numéro de décembre du *Médecin de famille canadien*, une erreur s'est glissée dans le pourcentage indiqué à la dernière phrase du paragraphe sur les résultats. La dernière ligne de ce paragraphe aurait dû se lire comme suit :

Il faudrait résérer les traitements topiques aux cas qui présentent une lésion minimale de l'ongle ( $\leq 40\%$ ).

Les auteurs s'excusent de toutes confusions que cette erreur aurait pu causer. La version en ligne a été corrigée.

### Référence

1. Lindblad A, Jardine S, Kolber MR. Le fond du fongus:l'onychomycose de l'ongle d'orteil. *Can Fam Physician* 2019;65:900 (ang), e513-4 (fr).

The opinions expressed in letters are those of the authors. Publication does not imply endorsement by the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

Les opinions exprimées sont celles des auteurs. Leur publication ne signifie pas qu'elles soient sanctionnées par le Collège des médecins de famille du Canada.

### Make your views known!

To comment on a particular article, open the article at [www.cfp.ca](http://www.cfp.ca) and click on the eLetters tab. eLetters are usually published online within 1 to 3 days and might be selected for publication in the next print edition of the journal. To submit a letter not related to a specific article published in the journal, please e-mail [letters.editor@cfpc.ca](mailto:letters.editor@cfpc.ca).

### Faites-vous entendre!

Pour exprimer vos commentaires sur un article en particulier, accédez à cet article à [www.cfp.ca](http://www.cfp.ca) et cliquez sur l'onglet eLetters. Les commentaires sous forme d'eLetters sont habituellement publiés en ligne dans un délai de 1 à 3 jours et pourraient être choisis pour apparaître dans le prochain numéro imprimé de la revue. Pour soumettre une lettre à la rédaction qui ne porte pas sur un article précis publié dans la revue, veuillez envoyer un courriel à [letters.editor@cfpc.ca](mailto:letters.editor@cfpc.ca).