

Osteoporosis in postmenopausal women

Samantha Moe PharmD Allison Paige MD CCFP G. Michael Allan MD CCFP

Clinical question

How effective are bisphosphonates and denosumab for preventing fractures in postmenopausal women?

Bottom line

Bisphosphonates and denosumab are similarly effective. Over 1 to 4 years, bisphosphonates or denosumab provide an absolute reduction of about 0.5% to 1.0% for hip fractures, 1.5% to 3% for nonvertebral fractures, and 3% to 6% for vertebral fractures, compared with placebo.

Evidence

Differences are statistically significant unless noted.

Bisphosphonates

- Eleven systematic reviews¹ compared alendronate, risedronate, or zoledronate with placebo.
- The systematic reviews with the best quality and reporting found that over 1 to 4 years, bisphosphonates reduced the following.
 - Hip fracture (4-7 RCTs, 9863-11 859 patients)²⁻⁴: 0.5% to 2.0% vs 1% to 3% with placebo (NNT=100-200).
 - Nonvertebral fracture (4-6 RCTs, 9625-12 397 patients)^{2,3,5}: about 9% vs about 11% with placebo (NNT=35-65).
 - Vertebral fracture (2-6 RCTs, 3139-7802 patients)²⁻⁴: 3% to 8% vs 7% to 13% with placebo (NNT=16-33).
- Results are consistent with other systematic reviews.¹
- Systematic reviews rarely distinguish true primary and secondary prevention.^{2,3} The best data⁶ suggest similar relative benefit in primary and secondary prevention (eg, alendronate: relative risk is 0.74 vs 0.81 for nonvertebral fracture and 0.60 vs 0.53 for vertebral fracture).

Denosumab

- Five systematic reviews¹ compared denosumab with placebo; absolute event rates by fracture type not reported.
- The largest RCT⁷ (7868 postmenopausal women, T-score ≤ -2.5) compared denosumab with placebo over 3 years.
 - Hip fracture: 0.7% vs 1.1% placebo (NNT=230).
 - Nonvertebral fracture: 6.1% vs 7.5% placebo (NNT=72).
 - Vertebral fracture: 2.3% vs 7.1% placebo (NNT=21).

Bisphosphonates versus denosumab

- One systematic review¹ reported no difference in hip, nonvertebral, and vertebral fractures.
- Four systematic reviews¹ (4-11 RCTs, 1942-5446 patients) reported no difference in clinical fracture risk.

Context

- Overall limitations: event rates infrequently reported, variable outcome definitions, industry funding of RCTs.
- Atypical fracture risk with bisphosphonates increases with duration of therapy, particularly beyond 5 years.⁸
 - Fractures prevented outnumber atypical fractures at about 14-100:1 with 5 years of treatment.
- Approximate drug cost (per year)⁹: \$480 for risedronate and alendronate, \$350 for zoledronate intravenous infusion, \$800 for denosumab subcutaneous injection.

Implementation

The osteoporosis screening tool is a simplified tool that reliably predicts osteoporosis and identifies those who would benefit from bone mineral density testing.¹⁰ Before starting a bisphosphonate or denosumab, discuss fracture risk and potential risks and benefits with the patient. The Mayo Clinic's Bone Health Choice Decision Aid provides information to support that discussion.¹¹ When prescribing, consider duration of therapy. Evidence suggests that after 5 years of treatment, discontinuation of bisphosphonates carries little to no increased future fracture risk,¹² and atypical fracture risk increases with duration of therapy beyond 5 years.⁸

Dr Moe is Clinical Evidence Expert at the College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC). **Dr Paige** is Medical Lead of Kildonan Medical Centre at Seven Oaks General Hospital in Winnipeg and Lecturer at the University of Manitoba. **Dr Allan** is Director of Programs and Practice Support at the CFPC.

Competing interests
None declared

The opinions expressed in Tools for Practice articles are those of the authors and do not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.

References

1. Moe S, Allan GM. Osteoporosis treatment for post-menopausal women. *Tools for Practice* #282. Edmonton, AB: Alberta College of Family Physicians; 2020.
2. Wells GA, Cranney A, Peterson J, Boucher M, Shea B, Robinson V, et al. Alendronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;(1):CD001155.
3. Wells GA, Cranney A, Peterson J, Boucher M, Shea B, Robinson V, et al. Risedronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;(1):CD004523.
4. Serrano AJ, Begoña L, Anitua E, Cobos R, Orive G. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of alendronate and zoledronate for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2013;29(12):1005-14. Epub 2013 Sep 25.
5. Wang C. Efficacy and safety of zoledronic acid for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Ther* 2017;24(5):e544-52.
6. Stevenson M, Jones ML, De Nigris E, Brewer N, Davis S, Oakley J. A systematic review and economic evaluation of alendronate, etidronate, risedronate, raloxifene and teriparatide for the prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Health Technol Assess* 2005;9(22):1-160.
7. Cummings SR, San Martin J, McClung MR, Siris ES, Eastell R, Reid IR, et al. Denosumab for prevention of fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361(8):756-65.
8. Black DM, Geiger EJ, Eastell R, Vittinghoff E, Li BH, Ryan DS, et al. Atypical femur fracture risk versus fragility fracture prevention with bisphosphonates. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383(8):743-53.
9. Hanley DA. Osteoporosis. In: Canadian Pharmacists Association, editors. *RxTx*. Online ed. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Pharmacists Association; 2020.
10. Korownyk CS, McCormack J, Allan GM. Who should receive bone mineral density testing? *Can Fam Physician* 2015;61:612.
11. Mayo Clinic. Bone health choice decision aid. Rochester, MN: Mayo Clinic; 1998. Available from: <https://osteoporosisdecisionaid.mayoclinic.org/index.php/osteo/index>. Accessed 2020 Nov 20.
12. Kolber MR, Sadowski CA, Korownyk CS. Bisphosphonates: forever or 5 years and stop? *Can Fam Physician* 2015;61:443.

Can Fam Physician 2021;67:346. DOI: 10.46747/cfp.6705346

Tools for Practice articles in *Canadian Family Physician* are adapted from articles published on the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP) website, summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice-modifying information. The ACFP summaries and the series in *Canadian Family Physician* are coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan, and the summaries are co-authored by at least 1 practising family physician and are peer reviewed. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website: www.acfp.ca.