

Budesonide bests COVID-19

Samantha S. Moe PharmD ACPR G. Michael Allan MD CCFP FCFP Anthony Train MBChB MSc CCFP

Clinical question

What is the effect of inhaled corticosteroids on length of illness, emergency department visits, and hospital admissions in outpatients with COVID-19?

Bottom line

Based on 2 open-label trials, higher-risk outpatients (≥ 1 comorbidity) with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 may benefit from 800 μg of inhaled budesonide twice a day for 14 days. Compared with usual care, budesonide shortened the time to recovery (12 vs 15 days), increased the proportion of patients recovering by day 14 (32% vs 22%), and reduced the need for health services (53% vs 59%).

Evidence

Results are statistically significant unless otherwise noted.


- An RCT¹ randomized 1856 symptomatic patients with COVID-19 aged 65 or older, or 50 or older with comorbidities, to 800 μg of inhaled budesonide twice a day for 14 days or usual care.
 - Mean age was 64, about 80% had comorbidities (most common were hypertension and diabetes), and symptom onset was 6 days prior.
 - First recovery day was at about 12 days with budesonide versus about 15 days with usual care.
 - Rates of hospital admission or death were 6.8% with budesonide versus 8.8% with usual care. Results were not statistically different, but analysis suggests a 96% probability that the benefit was real.
 - Other outcomes improved with budesonide:
 - The proportion who recovered by 14 days was 32% versus 22% with usual care (number needed to treat [NNT]=10); contact with health services was 53% versus 59% with usual care (NNT=18).
- Another RCT² of 800 μg of inhaled budesonide twice a day (for duration of symptoms; median 7 days) or usual care followed 146 (generally younger or lower-risk) adults with COVID-19 symptoms (94% confirmed):
 - The mean age was 45, there was a median 1 comorbidity per patient, and symptom onset was 3 days prior:
 - Urgent care or higher-acuity visits were needed by 3% versus 15% with usual care (NNT=9).
 - The proportion of patients with symptoms present at 14 days was 10% versus 30% with usual care (NNT=5).
- Study limitations included open-label design,^{1,2} no placebo arm,^{1,2} 1% of study population fully vaccinated,¹

and poor reporting of adverse effects.¹ Studies were conducted before the Omicron variant was identified.

Context

- Systemic corticosteroids reduce mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Mechanically ventilated patients benefit the most; hospitalized patients not requiring oxygen experience no benefit or harm.³
- Management guidelines for COVID-19 outpatients vary regarding inhaled budesonide, from not mentioning it,⁴ to not providing recommendations for or against it,⁵ to including it as a potential option.⁶
- Cost is about \$110 per inhaler.⁷

Implementation

Outpatients with COVID-19 have a growing number of treatments available to them. Antiviral agents (nirmatrelvir-ritonavir) and monoclonal antibodies (eg, sotrovimab) appear to reduce the risk of death or hospitalization,^{8,9} but patient eligibility and access vary by jurisdiction. Family physicians can provide inhaled budesonide at the point of care to those not eligible for antiviral agents or monoclonal antibodies.¹⁰ Fluvoxamine is also available, but its benefits are less certain than those of other treatments.¹¹ 

Dr Samantha S. Moe is Clinical Evidence Expert and Dr G. Michael Allan is Director of Programs and Practice Support, both at the College of Family Physicians of Canada. Dr Anthony Train is Assistant Professor in the Department of Family Medicine at Queen's University in Kingston, Ont.

Competing interests
None declared

References

- Yu LM, Bafadhel M, Dorward J, Hayward G, Saville BR, Gbinigie O, et al. Inhaled budesonide for COVID-19 in people at high risk of complications in the community in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. *Lancet* 2021;398(10303):843-55. Epub 2021 Aug 10. Erratum in: *Lancet* 2021;398(10303):e12. Epub 2021 Aug 19.
- Ramakrishnan S, Nicolau DV Jr, Langford B, Mahdi M, Jeffers H, Mwasuku C, et al. Inhaled budesonide in the treatment of early COVID-19 (STOIC): a phase 2, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2021;9(7):763-72. Epub 2021 Apr 9. Erratum in: *Lancet Respir Med* 2021;9(6):e55. Epub 2021 Apr 14.
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group; Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(8):693-704. Epub 2020 Jul 17.
- Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumacker AH, Lavergne V, Baden L, Cheng VCC, et al. *IDSA guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with COVID-19*. Arlington, VA: Infectious Diseases Society of America; 2022.
- COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel. *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) treatment guidelines*. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health; 2022.
- Guidance for primary care management of adults in the community with suspected or confirmed COVID-19*. Vancouver, BC: BC Centre for Disease Control; 2021.
- Prescribe Smart* [website]. Prescribe Smart; 2019. Available from: <https://www.prescribesmart.com>. Accessed 2021 Oct 14.
- Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, Abreu P, Bao W, Wisemandle W, et al. Oral nirmatrelvir for high-risk, nonhospitalized adults with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2022 Feb 16. Online ahead of print.
- Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, Crespo Casal M, Moya J, Falci DR, et al. Early treatment for COVID-19 with SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody sotrovimab. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:1941-50. Epub 2021 Oct 27.
- Ontario COVID-19 Drugs and Biologics Clinical Practice Guidelines Working Group. *Clinical practice guideline summary: recommended drugs and biologics in adult patients with COVID-19. Version 10.0*. Toronto, ON: Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table; 2022.
- Ontario COVID-19 Drugs and Biologics Clinical Practice Guidelines Working Group. *Fluvoxamine: what prescribers and pharmacists need to know*. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table, University of Waterloo School of Pharmacy; 2022.

Can Fam Physician 2022;68:355. DOI: 10.46747/cfp.6805355

Tools for Practice articles in CFP are adapted from peer-reviewed articles at <http://www.toolsforpractice.ca> and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan and Dr Adrienne J. Lindblad, articles are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada and its Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Chapters. Feedback is welcome at toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca.