

Cranberries for prevention of UTIs

Jennifer Young MD CCFP(EM) G. Michael Allan MD CCFP FCFP Betsy S. Thomas BScPharm

Clinical question

Do cranberry products prevent recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs)?

Bottom line

Evidence is of low quality because of potential publication bias, small studies, and unblinding. If biases are disregarded, cranberry products might reduce the proportion of women with recurrent UTIs to 18% vs 24% with placebo over 1 year or less. Results are inconsistent between populations (eg, children with recurrent UTIs may benefit but not institutionalized elderly patients or pregnant women).

Evidence

We found 5 systematic reviews (7-50 RCTs, N=1498-8857).¹⁻⁵ The definition of *UTI* varied: based on symptoms, bacteriuria, or both. Results are statistically different unless noted.

- In the most recent and largest systematic review (50 RCTs, N=8857),¹ “people-at-risk” subgroups included women (generally ≥ 2 UTIs/y) or children (1-18 y with ≥ 1 past UTIs); adults with pelvic radiation, surgery, or transplant or neuromuscular dysfunction; institutionalized elderly patients; and pregnant women. The following results were found for any cranberry product vs placebo or no treatment for patients with 1 or more UTIs at 1 to 12 months (reporting subgroups owing to inconsistent results).
 - Women (8 RCTs, N=1555): 18% vs 24% for placebo, number needed to treat (NNT)=17.
 - Children (5 RCTs, N=504): 16% vs 34% for placebo, NNT=6.
 - Adults after pelvic radiation, surgery, or transplant (6 RCTs, N=1434): 11% vs 23% for placebo, NNT=9.
 - Institutionalized adults, pregnant women, or those with neuromuscular dysfunction: no statistical difference.
- Other systematic reviews report benefits for women with recurrent UTIs^{2,3} and any “people at risk.”^{4,5}
- Adverse events: no difference.¹
- Limitations
 - Publication bias⁵: UTI prevention risk ratio (RR) of 0.68 (95% CI 0.57-0.80) worsens when adjusted for missing studies (RR=0.83, 95% CI 0.70-1.00).
 - Systematic reviews¹⁻⁵ did not analyze by quality. We performed quality analysis for study size and placebo control (in women with recurrent UTI).¹
 - In smaller RCTs RR=0.47 (95% CI 0.33-0.68) vs larger RCTs RR=0.97 (95% CI 0.77-1.22).

—No placebo (unblinded) RCTs: RR=0.39 (95% CI 0.21-0.74) vs placebo RR=0.83 (95% CI 0.62-1.10).

Context

- Studied doses of proanthocyanidin (proposed active ingredient) varied (2.8-118 mg).
- For women, the typical intervention was juice (0.5-3 cups/d) or capsule (500 mg/d).¹ There was no statistical difference between them (1 RCT, 100 patients).⁶
- Daily antibiotics reduce recurrence to 12% over 6 to 12 months vs 66% with placebo.⁷ Guidelines support antibiotic prophylaxis and conditionally recommend cranberry.⁸
- Costs: Juice per cup is about \$0.60 and 110 calories (low-calorie juice is 10 calories); capsules are about \$0.15 to \$0.63 per capsule (doses vary).⁹

Implementation

Low-dose antibiotics provide the most effective prophylaxis but may be associated with side effects and an unknown degree of antibiotic resistance.⁷ If cranberry products are effective, the optimal dose and formulation are unclear.¹ Capsules may be preferred for palatability and reduced caloric intake. Increasing water intake (those who drink <1.5 L/d) resulted in 1.5 fewer UTIs per patient per year.¹⁰ Evidence for postmenopausal vaginal (not oral) estrogen is minimal but suggests efficacy.¹⁰ Probiotics are not effective.¹⁰

Dr Jennifer Young is a family physician practising in Collingwood, Ont. Dr G. Michael Allan is Director of Programs and Practice Support at the College of Family Physicians of Canada. Betsy S. Thomas is Clinical Evidence Expert at the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

Competing interests
None declared

References

1. Williams G, Hahn D, Stephens JH, Craig JC, Hodson EM. Cranberries for preventing urinary tract infections. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2023;(4):CD001321. Update in: *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2023;(11):CD001321.
2. Valente J, Pendry BA, Galante E. Cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*) as a prophylaxis for urinary tract infections in women: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *J Herb Med* 2022;36:100602.
3. Fu Z, Liska D, Talan D, Chung M. Cranberry reduces the risk of urinary tract infection recurrence in otherwise healthy women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Nutr* 2017;147(12):2282-8. Epub 2017 Oct 18.
4. Xia JY, Yang C, Xu DF, Xia H, Yang LG, Sun GJ. Consumption of cranberry as adjuvant therapy for urinary tract infections in susceptible populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *PLoS One* 2021;16(9):e0256992.
5. Luis A, Domingues F, Pereira L. Can cranberries contribute to reduce the incidence of urinary tract infections? A systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of clinical trials. *J Urol* 2017;198(3):614-21. Epub 2017 Mar 10.
6. Stothers L. A randomized trial to evaluate effectiveness and cost effectiveness of naturopathic cranberry products as prophylaxis against urinary tract infection in women. *Can J Urol* 2002;9(3):1558-62.
7. Finley C, Falk J, Korownyk C. A dose a day keeps the UTI away? *Tools for Practice* #322. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2022. Available from: <https://cfpclearn.ca/tfp322/>. Accessed 2024 Feb 29.
8. Anger J, Lee U, Ackerman AL, Chou R, Chughtai B, Clemens JQ, et al. Recurrent uncomplicated urinary tract infections in women: AUA/CUA/SUFU guideline. *J Urol* 2019;202(2):282-9. Epub 2019 Jul 8. Update in: *J Urol* 2022;208(4):754-6. Epub 2022 Aug 1.
9. *Real Canadian Superstore* [website]. Brampton, ON: Loblaw Companies Limited; 2024. Available from: <https://www.realcanadiansuperstore.ca>. Accessed 2023 Oct 25.
10. Lindblad AJ, Craig R. Drink up: increasing fluid intake to prevent recurrent UTIs. *Tools for Practice* #233. Mississauga, ON: College of Family Physicians of Canada; 2019. Available from: <https://cfpclearn.ca/tfp233/>. Accessed 2024 Feb 29.

Can Fam Physician 2024;70:328. DOI: 10.46747/cfp.7005328

Tools for Practice articles in *CFP* are adapted from peer-reviewed articles at <http://www.toolsforpractice.ca> and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by Dr G. Michael Allan and Dr Adrienne J. Lindblad, articles are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada and its Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Chapters. Feedback is welcome at toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca.