

contributors over the years have not changed.”¹ Simply put, change has not occurred because those who are making policies are not listening to us. If very real positive changes are not effected very soon, the future of family medicine is far from guaranteed, and so the future need for the College of Family Physicians of Canada and the journal is also uncertain.

—Yoel B. Abells *MHSc MD CCFP FCFP*
Toronto, Ont

Competing interests

None declared

Reference

1. Pimlott N. Canadian Family Physician: 70 years and counting. *Can Fam Physician* 2024;70:369 (Eng), 370 (Fr).

Can Fam Physician 2024;70:528-9. DOI: 10.46747/cfp.7009528_1

The opinions expressed in letters are those of the authors. Publication does not imply endorsement by the College of Family Physicians of Canada.

Correction

In the article “Assessing the impact of Canadian primary care research and researchers. Citation analysis,”¹ which appeared in the May 2024 issue of *Canadian Family Physician*, a sentence in the third paragraph on page 331 should have read, “In 2003, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) launched the Transdisciplinary Understanding and Training on Research–Primary Health Care program; funding ended in 2013.”

The online version of this article has been corrected.

Reference

1. Aggarwal M, Hutchison B, Katz A, Wong ST, Marshall EG, Slade S. Assessing the impact of Canadian primary care research and researchers. Citation analysis. *Can Fam Physician* 2024;70:329-41.

Can Fam Physician 2024;70:529. DOI: 10.46747/cfp.7009529