| Trial Characteristics | Donulation | nary | T Trischuk PharmD © www.RxFiles.ca May 2020 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Characteristics | Population | Glycemic | Final | Macrovascular Outcome Summary | | | Summary of RCT Evidence |
| | Baseline | Intervention | A1c | <u>1º outcome</u> : MACE | 2° outcome: | 2° outcomes: | Health Canada Approvals, |
| | | (added to usual | | {Composite of CV death, non- | Hosp. for Heart | All-cause death | Renal Outcomes |
| | | T2DM care) | | fatal MI or non-fatal stroke} | Failure (HHF) | CV death | Renal Sacomes |
| l l | Established CVD (100%); | Sitagliptin 100mg (50mg | Mean | MACE + UA: | | | Cardiovascular outcome summary: |
| ~ 3 yrs; n= 14,671 | 12 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=7.2%; eGFR<50 (9.4%) | eGFR <50) po once daily vs placebo | ↓0.29% vs placebo | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS | NS | NS : CV death | No Health Canada approval due to neutral MACE and mortality for entire class. ^{Liu et al 2017} |
| SAVOR-TIMI 53 ¹³ ~ 2.1 yrs; n= 16,492 | CVD (79%) or ↑CVD risk; 10 yr hx DM; Age: 65 A1c=8.0%; eGFR<50 (15.6%) | Saxagliptin 5mg (2.5mg eGFR <50) po once daily vs placebo See RxFiles Trial Summary | 7.7% vs 7.9% | Superiority for efficacy: NS | NINIH- | NS : All-cause death NS : CV death | o Most data from high CV risk patients o CAROLINA: 1st CVOT to use an active comparator |
| | CVD (57%) &/or ↑ renal risk 15 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=8.0%; eGFR<60 (74%) | Linagliptin 5mg po once daily vs placebo | Not reported | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS | | NS : All-cause death NS : CV death | HHF summary: harm in some (i.e. saxagliptin, alogliptin); unknown mechanism. Small ↑HHF (OR 1.13; 1.00-1.26) in pts who have CVD or |
| | CVD (42%) or ↑ CVD risk 6 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=7.2% | Linagliptin 5mg po once daily vs glimepiride 1-4mg daily | No mean difference | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS | | NS : All-cause death NS : CV death | multiple risk factors, unknown if this extends to patients without CVD. ^{Li et al 2016 (meta-analysis)} Neutral renal outcomes: |
| EXAMINE 2013 ~ 1.5 yrs n= 5,380 | Recent hosp for ACS (MI/UA) 7 yr hx DM; Age: 61 A1c=8.0%; eGFR<60 (29%) | Alogliptin 25mg (12.5mg eGFR <60) po once daily vs placebo | 7.7% vs 8.1% | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS | DOCT NOC FOR 13 | NS : All-cause death NS : CV death | Renal safety established, clinical renal outcomes neutral o Linagliptin- 2° renal endpoint (ESRD, renal death or ↓eGFR ≥40%): NS ^{CARMELINA} |
| ~ 3.1 yrs; n= 7,020 | Established CVD (100%) 10 yr hx DM; Age: 63 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=74 mL/min HF (10%); eGFR <60 (26%) | See <u>RxFiles Trial Summary</u> | mean ↓0.24% vs placebo | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT = 63/3.1 yrs | NNT= 71/3.1 yrs | All cause death: NNT = 39/3.1 yrs CV death: NNT = 46/3.1 yrs | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Empagliflozin X ✓ T2DM + CVD Canagliflozin ✓ T2DM + CVD X Cardiovascular outcome summary: Zelniker et al 2019 |
| ~ 3.6 yrs n= 10,142 | CVD (66%) or ↑CVD risk 13.5 yr hx DM; Age: 63 A1c=8.2%; eGFR=77 mL/min HF (14%); eGFR <60 (20%) | · | mean ↓0.58% vs placebo | | Exploratory:* HR: 0.67 (0.52-0.87) | | Reduced MACE (HR 0.89) and CV Death (HR 0.84), effect only seen in patients with established CVD. Additional trials & head to head comparisons are needed as only 1 RCT per drug available for meta- |
| ~ 4.2 yrs | CVD (41%) or ↑CVD risk 11 yr hx DM; Age: 64 | Dapagliflozin 10mg po once daily vs placebo | mean ↓0.42% vs | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 | Co-primary outcome NNT = 112/4.2 yrs | | analysis and CVD risk for patient populations at baseline variable. |
| n= 17,160 | A1c=8.3%; eGFR=85 mL/min HF (10%); eGFR <60 (7%) | Trial Summary | placebo | Superiority for efficacy: NS | NNT=125/4.2yrs | NS : All-cause death NS : CV death | HHF outcomes summary: DAPA-HF: beneficial 1º endpoint outcomes for HF (see left) |
| CREDENCE 2019 n=4,401; ~2.6 yrs; Population: CVD (50%); 15.8 yr hx DM; Age: 63; A1c=8.3%; eGFR = 56 mL/min; HF (15%); eGFR <60 (60%) Canagliflozin 100mg po once daily vs placebo; 1° renal outcome (ESRD, ↑SCr & renal or CV death): NNT=23/2.6 yrs; See RxFiles CREDENCE Trial Summary | | | | | | | Meta-analysis suggests likely class effect (HR 0.69). Zelniker |
| | | | | or CV death): NNT=23 /2.6 yrs; See <u>Rx</u> 10%; <mark>T2DM (45%)</mark> ; previous HHF (47% | | | Renal outcome summary: Reduced progression of kidney disease (HR 0.55) in |
| | | | | ed for IV HF therapy) or CV death: NN | | | patients with and without established CVD. Zelniker et al 2019 |
| VERTIS-CV Estimated con | mpletion 2020 Population: T2DM & | established CVD; Ertugliflozin | 5mg or 15m | po once daily vs placebo added to u | cual caro: 1º andnoin | t: MACE | Less benefit in those with severe kidney disease |
| | 10.01.10000 | | | s pe enter dany to place be added to a | sual cale, 1 eliupolii | | |
| Ongoing RCTs: EMPE | ROR-Preserved & -Reduced 2020 (HF ± T | ² 2DM); EMPA-KIDNEY ²⁰²² (CKD | ±T2DM); Da | | suar care, 1 enupon | | CREDENCE : first RCT to study renal outcomes as 1° endpoi |
| | ROR Preserved & Reduced 2020 (HF ± T CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo | ±T2DM); Da mean ↓0.53% vs placebo | | | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ✓ T2DM + CVD |
| EXSCEL ²⁰¹⁷ ~ 3.2 yrs n= 14,752 LEADER ²⁰¹⁶ ~ 3.8 yrs n= 9,340 | CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min {CVD or CKD} (81%) or ↑CVD risk 13 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (23%) | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo Liraglutide 1.8mg SC once daily vs placebo See RxFiles LEADER Trial Summary | mean ↓0.53% vs placebo mean ↓0.40% vs placebo | pa-CKD ^{11/20} (CKD ± T2DM) Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 | NS NS | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death All cause death: NNT= 72/3.8 yrs CV death: NNT= 77/3.8 yrs | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ▼ T2DM + CVD Cardiovascular summary: MACE superiority (lira-, dula- & sema-) was driven by a reduction in atherosclerotic events (MI & stroke). o Most data from high CV risk patients except REWIND |
| EXSCEL 2017 ~ 3.2 yrs n= 14,752 LEADER 2016 ~ 3.8 yrs n= 9,340 REWIND 2019 ~ 5.4 yrs n= 9,901 | CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min {CVD or CKD} (81%) or ↑CVD risk 13 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (23%) CVD (32%) or ↑CVD risk 10 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=7.2%; eGFR=75mL/min HF=8.5%; eGFR<60 (22%) | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo Liraglutide 1.8mg SC once daily vs placebo See RxFiles LEADER Trial Summary Dulaglutide 1.5mg SC once weekly vs placebo | mean ↓0.53% vs placebo mean ↓0.40% vs placebo mean ↓0.60% vs placebo | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 53/3.8yrs Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 72/5.4yrs | NS NS NS | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death All cause death: NNT= 72/3.8 yrs CV death: NNT= 77/3.8 yrs NS: All-cause death NS: CV death | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ▼ T2DM + CVD Cardiovascular summary: MACE superiority (lira-, dula- & sema-) was driven by a reduction in atherosclerotic events (MI & stroke). o Most data from high CV risk patients except REWIND |
| EXSCEL 2017 ~ 3.2 yrs n= 14,752 LEADER 2016 ~ 3.8 yrs n= 9,340 REWIND 2019 ~ 5.4 yrs n= 9,901 SUSTAIN-6 2016 ~ 2.1 yrs n= 3297 | CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min {CVD or CKD} (81%) or ↑CVD risk 13 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (23%) CVD (32%) or ↑CVD risk 10 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=7.2%; eGFR=75mL/min HF=8.5%; eGFR<60 (22%) (CVD or CKD)(83%) or ↑CVD risk 14 yr hx DM; Age: 65 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (29%) | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo Liraglutide 1.8mg SC once daily vs placebo See RxFiles LEADER Trial Summary Dulaglutide 1.5mg SC once weekly vs placebo Semaglutide 0.5mg or 1mg SC once weekly vs placebo | mean ↓0.53% vs placebo mean ↓0.40% vs placebo mean ↓0.60% vs | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for efficacy: NNT= 53/3.8yrs Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 72/5.4yrs Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 44/2.1yrs | NS NS NS | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death All cause death: NNT= 72/3.8 yrs CV death: NNT= 77/3.8 yrs NS: All-cause death NS: CV death NS: CV death | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ✓ T2DM + CVD Cardiovascular summary: MACE superiority (lira-, dula- & sema-) was driven by a reduction in atherosclerotic events (MI & stroke). o Most data from high CV risk patients except REWIND Reduced MACE (HR 0.88), effect only seen in patients with established CVD (HR 0.87). Zelniker et al 2019 HHF summary: o Incretin-based NS effect on HHF. Microvascular summary: (No RCTs with this as 1° endpoin o Sema ↑ retinopathy: NNH=83/2.1 yrs SUSTAIN-6, FOCUS on |
| EXSCEL 2017 ~ 3.2 yrs n= 14,752 LEADER 2016 ~ 3.8 yrs n= 9,340 REWIND 2019 ~ 5.4 yrs n= 9,901 SUSTAIN-6 2016 ~ 2.1 yrs n= 3297 PIONEER-6 2019 ~ 1.3 yrs n= 3183 | CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min {CVD or CKD} (81%) or ↑CVD risk 13 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (23%) CVD (32%) or ↑CVD risk 10 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=7.2%; eGFR<50 (22%) {CVD or CKD}(83%) or ↑CVD risk 14 yr hx DM; Age: 65 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (29%) {CVD or CKD}(85%) or ↑CVD risk 15 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=8.2%; eGFR=74mL/min | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo Liraglutide 1.8mg SC once daily vs placebo See RxFiles LEADER Trial Summary Dulaglutide 1.5mg SC once weekly vs placebo Semaglutide 0.5mg or 1mg SC once weekly vs placebo Semaglutide 14mg po once daily vs placebo | mean ↓0.53% vs placebo mean ↓0.40% vs placebo mean ↓0.60% vs placebo 7.6% (0.5mg) & 7.3% (1mg) vs \$.3% mean ↓1.0% vs ↓0.3% placebo | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 53/3.8yrs Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 72/5.4yrs Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 44/2.1yrs Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Not powered to test superiority | NS NS NS NS | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death All cause death: NNT= 72/3.8 yrs CV death: NNT= 77/3.8 yrs NS: All-cause death NS: CV death NS: CV death Exploratorv*: All-cause death: NNT= 72/1.3yrs | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ✓ T2DM + CVD Cardiovascular summary: MACE superiority (lira-, dula- & sema-) was driven by a reduction in atherosclerotic events (MI & stroke). o Most data from high CV risk patients except REWIND Reduced MACE (HR 0.88), effect only seen in patients with established CVD (HR 0.87). Zelniker et al 2019 HHF summary: o Incretin-based NS effect on HHF. Microvascular summary: (No RCTs with this as 1° endpoin o Sema ↑ retinopathy: NNH=83/2.1 yrs SUSTAIN-6, FOCUS on Possible reno-protective class effect, however results explorator (2° endpoints, short duration & minimal ALc separation): o Lira- 2° renal endpoint: NNT=67/3.8yrs LEADER |
| EXSCEL 2017 | CVD (73%) or ↑CVD risk 12 yr hx DM; Age: 62 A1c=8.0%; eGFR=76 mL/min {CVD or CKD} (81%) or ↑CVD risk 13 yr hx DM; Age: 64 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (23%) CVD (32%) or ↑CVD risk 10 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=7.2%; eGFR=75mL/min HF=8.5%; eGFR<60 (22%) {CVD or CKD}(83%) or ↑CVD risk 14 yr hx DM; Age: 65 A1c=8.7%; eGFR<60 (29%) {CVD or CKD}(85%) or ↑CVD risk 15 yr hx DM; Age: 66 A1c=8.2%; eGFR=74mL/min Recent hosp for ACS (MI/UA) 9 yr hx DM; Age: 60 A1c=7.7%; eGFR=76mL/min | Exenatide ER 2mg SC once weekly vs placebo Liraglutide 1.8mg SC once daily vs placebo See RxFiles LEADER Trial Summary Dulaglutide 1.5mg SC once weekly vs placebo Semaglutide 0.5mg or 1mg SC once weekly vs placebo Semaglutide 14mg po once daily vs placebo Lixisenatide 20mcg SC once daily vs placebo | mean ↓0.53% vs placebo mean ↓0.40% vs placebo mean ↓0.60% vs placebo 7.6% (0.5mg) & 7.3% (1mg) vs 8.3% mean ↓1.0% vs ↓0.3% placebo ———————————————————————————————————— | Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NS Non-inferiority for efficacy: NNT= 53/3.8yrs Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 72/5.4yrs Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 Superiority for efficacy: NNT= 44/2.1yrs Non-inferiority for safety: P<0.001 | NS NS NS NS NS | NS: All-cause death NS: CV death All cause death: NNT= 72/3.8 yrs CV death: NNT= 77/3.8 yrs NS: All-cause death NS: CV death NS: CV death Exploratory*: All-cause death: | HC Approval ↓ MACE ↓ CV Death Liraglutide X ✓ T2DM + CVD Cardiovascular summary: MACE superiority (lira-, dula- & sema-) was driven by a reduction in atherosclerotic events (MI & stroke). o Most data from high CV risk patients except REWIND Reduced MACE (HR 0.88), effect only seen in patients with established CVD (HR 0.87). Zelniker et al 2019 HHF summary: o Incretin-based NS effect on HHF. Microvascular summary: (No RCTs with this as 1° endpoin o Sema ↑ retinopathy: NNH=83/2.1 yrs SUSTAIN-6, FOCUS or Possible reno-protective class effect, however results explorato (2° endpoints, short duration & minimal A1c separation): |

References:

- Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos GS, et al; EMPEROR-Preserved Trial Committees and Investigators. Evaluation of the effects of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibition with empagliflozin on morbidity and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction: rationale for and design of the EMPEROR-Preserved Trial. (EMPEROR-Preserved) Eur J Heart Fail. 2019 Oct;21(10):1279-1287.
- Cannon CP, McGuire DK, Pratley R, et al; VERTIS-CV Investigators. Design and baseline characteristics of the eValuation of ERTugliflozin efficacy and Safety CardioVascular outcomes trial (VERTIS-CV). Am Heart J. 2018

 Dec: 206:11-23.
- Cooper ME, Perkovic V, McGill JB, et al; Kidney Disease End Points in a Pooled Analysis of Individual Patient-Level Data From a Large Clinical Trials Program of the Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Inhibitor Linagliptin in Type 2 Diabetes. Am J Kidney Dis. 2015 Sep; 66(3):441-9.
- Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al; REWIND Investigators. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2019 Jul 13;394(10193):121-130.
- Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al; TECOS Study Group. Effect of Sitagliptin on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (TECOS) N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42.
- Hernandez AF, Green JB, Janmohamed S, et al; Harmony Outcomes committees and investigators. Albiglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Harmony Outcomes): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. (HARMONY) Lancet. 2018 Oct 27;392(10157):1519-1529.
- Herrington WG, Preiss D, Haynes R, et al. The potential for improving cardio-renal outcomes by sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibition in people with chronic kidney disease: a rationale for the EMPA-KIDNEY study. (EMPA-KIDNEY) Clin Kidney J. 2018 Dec;11(6):749-761.
- Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al; EXSCEL Study Group. Effects of Once-Weekly Exenatide on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (EXSCEL) N Engl J Med. 2017 Sep 28;377(13):1228-1239.
- Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al; PIONEER 6 Investigators. Oral Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. (PIONEER 6) N Engl J Med. 2019 Aug 29;381(9):841-851.
- Li L, Li S, Deng K, Liu J, Vandvik PO, Zhao P, Zhang L, Shen J, Bala MM, Sohani ZN, Wong E, Busse JW, Ebrahim S, Malaga G, Rios LP, Wang Y, Chen Q, Guyatt GH, Sun X. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and risk of heart failure in type 2 diabetes: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised and observational studies. BMJ. 2016 Feb 17.
- Liu J, Li L, Deng K, Xu C, Busse JW, Vandvik PO, Li S, Guyatt GH, Sun X. Incretin based treatments and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2017 Jun 8.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. (SUSTAIN-6) N Engl J Med. 2016 Nov 10;375(19):1834-1844.
- Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (LEADER) N Engl J Med. 2016 Jun 13; 375:311-322.
- McGuire DK, Alexander JH, Johansen OE, et al. Linagliptin Effects on Heart Failure and Related Outcomes in Individuals With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus at High Cardiovascular and Renal Risk in CARMELINA. Circulation 2019; 139:351.
- McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, et al; DAPA-HF Trial Committees and Investigators. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. (DAPA-HF) N Engl J Med. 2019 Sep 19.
- Mosenzon O, Leibowitz G, Bhatt DL, et al. Effect of Saxagliptin on Renal Outcomes in the SAVOR-TIMI 53 Trial. Diabetes Care. 2017 Jan;40(1):69-76.
- Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, et al; CANVAS Program Collaborative Group. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. (CANVAS) N Engl J Med. 2017 Aug 17;377(7):644-657.
- Packer M, Butler J, Filippatos GS, et al; EMPEROR-Reduced Trial Committees and Investigators. Evaluation of the effect of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibition with empagliflozin on morbidity and mortality of patients with chronic heart failure and a reduced ejection fraction: rationale for and design of the EMPEROR-Reduced trial. (EMPEROR-Reduced) Eur J Heart Fail. 2019 Oct;21(10):1270-1278.
- Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, et al; CREDENCE Trial Investigators. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. (CREDENCE) N Engl J Med. 2019 Jun 13;380(24):2295-2306.
- Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al. Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. (ELIXA) N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3;373(23):2247-57.
- Rosenstock J, Kahn SE, Johansen OE, et al; CAROLINA Investigators. Effect of Linagliptin vs Glimepiride on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: The CAROLINA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019 Sep 19.
- Rosenstock J, Perkovic V, Johansen OE, et al; CARMELINA Investigators. Effect of Linagliptin vs Placebo on Major Cardiovascular Events in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes and High Cardiovascular and Renal Risk: The CARMELINA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019 Jan 1; 321(1):69-79.
- Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al; SAVOR-TIMI 53 Steering Committee and Investigators. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. (SAVOR-TIMI 53) N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26.
- White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, et al; EXAMINE Investigators. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. (EXAMINE) N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1327-35.
- Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, et al; DECLARE-TIMI 58 Investigators. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. (DECLARE-TIMI 58) N Engl J Med. 2019 Jan 24;380(4):347-357.
- Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Glucagon-Like Peptide Receptor Agonists and Sodium Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors for Prevention of Major Adverse Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Circulation. 2019 Apr 23;139(17):2022-2031.
- Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I,et al. SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. Lancet. 2019 Jan 5;393(10166):31-39.
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al; EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. (EMPA-REG) N Engl J Med. 2015 Nov 26;373(22):2117-28.